

# MODIFIED POLYPEPTIDES STABILIZED IN A DESIRED CONFORMATION AND METHODS FOR PRODUCING SAME

## Related Applications

- 5 This application claims priority to U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 60/229,700 filed on September 1, 2000, incorporated herein in its entirety by reference.

## Background of the Invention

10 The integrin family of adhesion molecules are noncovalently-associated  $\alpha/\beta$  heterodimers. To date, at least fourteen different integrin  $\alpha$  subunits and eight different  $\beta$  subunits have been reported (Hynes, RO (1992) *Cell* 69:1-25). Lymphocyte function-associated antigen-1 (LFA-1) is a member of the leukocyte integrin subfamily. Members of the leukocyte integrin subfamily share the common  $\beta 2$  subunit (CD18) but have distinct  $\alpha$  subunits,  $\alpha L$  (CD11a),  $\alpha M$  (CD11b),  $\alpha X$  (CD11c) and  $\alpha d$  for LFA-1, 15 Mac-1, p150.95 and  $\alpha d/\beta 2$ , respectively (Springer, TA (1990) *Nature* 346:425-433; Larson, RS and Springer, TA (1990) *Immunol Rev* 114:181-217; Van der Vieren, M *et al.* (1995) *Immunity* 3:683-690). The leukocyte integrins mediate a wide range of adhesive interactions that are essential for normal immune and inflammatory responses.

20 Both integrin  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  subunits are type I transmembrane glycoproteins, each with a large extracellular domain, a single transmembrane region and a short cytoplasmic tail. Several structurally distinct domains have been identified or predicted in the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  subunit extracellular domains.

The N-terminal region of the integrin  $\alpha$  subunits contains seven repeats of about 60 amino acids each, and has been predicted to fold into a 7-bladed  $\beta$ -propeller domain 25 (Springer, TA (1997) *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 94:65-72). The leukocyte integrin  $\alpha$  subunits, the  $\alpha 1$ ,  $\alpha 2$ ,  $\alpha 10$ ,  $\alpha 11$ , and  $\alpha E$  subunits contain an inserted domain or I-domain of about 200 amino acids (Larson, RS *et al.* (1989) *J Cell Biol* 108:703-712; Takada, Y *et al.* (1989) *EMBO J* 8:1361-1368; Briesewitz, R *et al.* (1993) *J Biol Chem* 268:2989-2996; Shaw, S K *et al.* (1994) *J Biol Chem* 269:6016-6025; Camper, L *et al.* 30 (1998) *J Biol Chem* 273:20383-20389). The I-domain is predicted to be inserted between  $\beta$ -sheets 2 and 3 of the  $\beta$ -propeller domain. The three dimensional structure of the  $\alpha M$ ,  $\alpha L$ ,  $\alpha 1$  and  $\alpha 2$  I-domains has been solved and shows that it adopts the

107200 9254650

dinucleotide-binding fold with a unique divalent cation coordination site designated the metal ion-dependent adhesion site (MIDAS) (Lee, J-O, *et al.* (1995) *Structure* 3:1333-1340; Lee, J-O, *et al.* (1998) *Cell* 80:631-638; Qu, A and Leahy, DJ (1995) *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 92:10277-10281; Qu, A and Leahy, DJ (1996) *Structure* 4:931-942; 5 Emsley, J *et al.* (1997) *J Biol Chem* 272:28512-28517; Baldwin, ET *et al.* (1998) *Structure* 6:923-935; Kallen, J *et al.* (1999) *J Mol Biol* 292:1-9). The C-terminal region of the  $\alpha$ M subunit has been predicted to fold into a  $\beta$ -sandwich structure (Lu, C *et al.* (1998) *J Biol Chem* 273:15138-15147).

The integrin  $\beta$  subunits contain a conserved domain of about 250 amino acids in 10 the N-terminal portion, and a cysteine-rich region in the C-terminal portion. The  $\beta$  conserved domain, or I-like domain, has been predicted to have an "I-domain-like" fold (Puzon-McLaughlin, W and Takada, Y (1996) *J Biol Chem* 271:20438-20443; Tuckwell, DS and Humphries, MJ (1997) *FEBS Lett* 400: 297-303; Huang, C *et al.* (2000) *J Biol Chem* 275:21514-24). The C-terminal Cys-rich region of the  $\beta$  subunits 15 appears to be important in the regulation of integrin function, as a number of activating antibodies to the  $\beta$ 1,  $\beta$ 2 and  $\beta$ 3 subunits bind to this region (Petrucelli, L *et al.* (1995) *J Immunol* 155:854-866; Robinson, MK *et al.* (1992) *J Immunol* 148:1080-1085; Faull, RJ *et al.* (1996) *J Biol Chem* 271:25099-25106; Shih, DT *et al.* (1993) *J Cell Biol* 122:1361-1371; Du, X *et al.* (1993) *J Biol Chem* 268:23087-23092).

20 Electron microscopic images of integrins reveal that the N-terminal portions of the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  subunits fold into a globular head that is connected to the membrane by two rod-like tails about 16 nm long corresponding to the C-terminal portions of the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  extracellular domains (Nerut, MV *et al.* (1988), *EMBO J* 7:4093-4099; Weisel, JW *et al.* (1992) *J Biol Chem* 267:16637-16643; Wippler, J *et al.* (1994) *J Biol Chem* 269: 25 8754-8761).

LFA-1 is expressed on all leukocytes and is the receptor for three Ig superfamily members, intercellular adhesion molecule-1, -2 and -3 (Marlin, SD *et al.* (1987) *Cell* 51:813-819; Staunton, DE *et al.* (1989) *Nature* 339:61 -64; de Fougères, *et al.* (1991) *J Exp Med* 174: 253-267). Substantial data indicate that the I-domain of LFA-1 is 30 critical for interaction with ligands. Mutagenesis studies have shown that residues M140, E146, T175, L205, E241, T243, S245 and K263 in the I-domain are important for ligand binding (Huang, C *et al.* (1995) *J Biol Chem* 270:19008-19016; Edwards, CP *et al.* (1998) *J Biol Chem* 273:28937-28944). These residues are located on the surface

0945265-03101

of the I-domain surrounding the  $Mg^{2+}$  ion, defining a ligand binding interface on the upper surface of the I-domain. The importance of the I-domain in ligand binding is further underscored by mAb blocking studies. A large number mAbs that inhibit LFA-1 interaction with its ligands map to the I-domain (Randi, AM *et al.* (1994) *J Biol Chem* 269:12395-12398; Champe, M *et al.* (1995) *J Biol Chem* 270:1388-1394; Huang, C *et al.* (1995) *J Biol Chem* 270:19008-19016; Edwards, CP *et al.* (1998) *J Biol Chem* 273:28937-28944). Two groups have recently shown that I-domain deleted LFA-1 lacks ligand recognition and binding ability, further demonstrating the role of the I-domain in LFA-1 function (Leitinger, B *et al.* (2000) *Mol Biol Cell* 11, 677-690; Yalamanchili, P *et al.* (2000) *J Biol Chem* 275:21877-82). The I-domains of other I-domain containing integrins have also been implicated in ligand binding (Diamond, MS (1993) *J Cell Biol* 120:545556; Michishita, M *et al.* (1993) *Cell* 72:857-867; Muchowski, PJ *et al.* (1994) *J Biol Chem* 269:26419-26423; Zhou, L *et al.* (1994) *J Biol Chem* 269:17075-17079; Ueda, T *et al.* (1994) *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 91:10680- 10684; Kamata, T *et al.* (1994) *J Biol Chem* 269:96599663; Kern, A *et al.* (1994) *J Biol Chem* 269:22811-22816).

Binding of LFA-1 to ICAMs requires LFA-1 activation. LFA-1 can be activated by signals from the cytoplasm, called "inside-out" signaling (Diamond, MS *et al.* (1994) *Current Biology* 4:506-517). Divalent cations  $Mn^{2+}$ ,  $Mg^{2+}$  and  $Ca^{2+}$  can directly modulate ligand-binding function of LFA-1 (Dransfield, I *et al.* (1989) *EMBO J* 8:3759-3765; Dransfield, I *et al.* (1992). *J Cell Biol* 116:219-226; Stewart, MP *et al.* (1996) *J Immunol* 156:1810-1817). In addition, LFA-1 can be activated by certain mAbs that bind the extracellular domains of the  $\alpha L$  or  $\beta 2$  subunit (Keizer, GD *et al.* (1988) *J Immunol* 140:1393- 1400; Robinson, MK *et al.* (1992) *J Immunol* 148:1080-1085; Andrew, D *et al.* (1993) *Eur J Immunol* 23:2217-2222; Petruzzelli, L *et al.* (1995) *J Immunol* 155:854-866). The molecular mechanism for integrin activation is not yet well understood. It has been proposed that intramolecular conformational changes accompanying integrin activation increase integrin affinity for ligand, and this is supported by the existence of antibodies that only recognize activated integrins (Dransfield, I *et al.* (1989) *EMBO J* 8:3759-3765; Diamond, MS *et al.* (1993) *J Cell Biol* 120: 545-556; Shattil, SJ *et al.* (1985) *J Biol Chem* 260:11107-11114). One of such antibodies CBRLFA-1/5 binds to the Mac-1 I-domain very close to the ligand binding site (Oxvig, C *et al.* (1999) *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 96:2215-2220), providing further evidence that the I-domain itself undergoes conformational changes with activation.

03945265-03101  
10 FEB 99 9 24 56 AM

Two different crystal forms of the Mac-1 I-domain have been obtained, and it has been hypothesized that the two structures represent the “active” and “inactive” conformation, respectively (Lee, J-O *et al.* (1995) *Structure* 3, 1333-1340; Lee, J-O *et al.* (1995) *Cell* 80:631-638). In the “active” form, crystallized with  $Mg^{2+}$ , a glutamate from a neighboring I-domain provides the sixth metal coordination site, while in the “inactive” conformation, complexed with  $Mn^{2+}$ , a water molecule completes the metal coordination sphere. The change in metal coordination is linked to a large shift of the C-terminal  $\alpha$ -helix; in the putative “active” conformation, the C-terminal helix moves 10 Å down the body of the I-domain (Lee, J-O *et al.* (1995) *Structure* 3:1333-1340).

Results from epitope mapping of mAb CBRM-1/5 that only recognizes activated Mac-1 have suggested that the conformational differences are physiologically (Oxvig, C *et al.* (1999) *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 96:2215-2220). The crystal and NMR structures of the LFA-1 I-domain have a conformation similar to the putative “inactive” conformation of the Mac-1 I-domain (Qu, A *et al.* (1995) *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 92:10277-10281; Qu, A (1996) *Structure* 4:, 931-942; Kallen, J *et al.* (1999) *J Mol Biol* 292:1-9; Legge, GB *et al.* (2000) *J Mol Biol* 295:1251-1264).

In addition to integrins, many pharmaceutically important proteins exist in two alternative three-dimensional structures, referred to as conformations or conformers. Often these proteins have important signaling functions, such as small G proteins, trimeric G protein  $\alpha$  subunits, tyrosine kinases, and G protein-coupled receptors. Typically, one of these conformations and not the other is enzymatically active or has effector functions. Therefore, antibody or small molecule therapeutics that are specific for a protein in a particular conformation, for example, the active conformation, would have great advantages over non-selective alternatives.

### Summary of the Invention

Computational design can be used to introduce a disulfide bond into a protein or polypeptide such that the molecule is stabilized in a desired conformation. Accordingly, antibodies, *e.g.*, anti-LFA-1 antibodies, or small molecule therapeutics that are specific for a desired protein conformation, *e.g.*, an “open” or active conformation or a “closed” or inactive conformation can be identified.

The invention pertains to methods for stabilizing a polypeptide, *e.g.*, a polypeptide comprising a functional domain of a protein, in a desired conformation.



Computational design can be used to introduce a disulfide bond into a protein or polypeptide such that the molecule is stabilized in a desired conformation. Accordingly, antibody or small molecule therapeutics that are specific for a desired protein conformation can be identified.

In another aspect, the invention provides a modified integrin I-domain polypeptide that is stabilized in a desired conformation by the introduction of at least one disulfide bond. In one embodiment, a modified integrin I-domain is encoded by an amino acid sequence containing at least one cysteine substitution as compared to the wild-type sequence, such that a disulfide bond is formed. In another embodiment, the distance between the C $\beta$  carbons of the residues that are substituted for cysteines is in the range of 3.00-8.09Å. In yet another embodiment, the distance between the C $\beta$  carbons in the disulfide bond is in the range of 3.41-7.08Å.

In one embodiment, a modified integrin I-domain polypeptide of the invention is derived from an I-domain of an integrin  $\alpha$  subunit, for example,  $\alpha 1$ ,  $\alpha 2$ ,  $\alpha 10$ ,  $\alpha 11$ ,  $\alpha D$ ,  $\alpha E$ ,  $\alpha L$  (CD11a),  $\alpha M$  (CD11b), and  $\alpha X$  (CD11c). For example, in one embodiment of the invention, a modified integrin I-domain polypeptide is derived from the I-domain of the human  $\alpha L$  subunit and contains amino acid substitutions K287C/K294C, E284C/E301C, L161C/F299C, K160C/F299C, L161C/T300C, or L289C/K294C. In another embodiment of the invention, a modified integrin I-domain polypeptide is

derived from the I-domain of the human  $\alpha$ M subunit and contains amino acid substitutions Q163C/Q309C, D294C/Q311C, or Q163C/R313C.

In a preferred embodiment, a modified integrin I-domain polypeptide of the invention is stabilized in the open conformation. In another embodiment, a modified  
5 integrin I-domain polypeptide of the invention is stabilized in the closed conformation. In another embodiment, a modified integrin I-domain binds ligand with high affinity. In yet another embodiment, a modified integrin I-domain polypeptide is operatively linked to a heterologous polypeptide.

In a related aspect, the invention provides isolated nucleic acid molecules which  
10 encode a modified integrin I-domain polypeptide of the invention.

The modified integrin I-domain polypeptides, and/or biologically active or antigenic fragments thereof, are useful, for example, as reagents or targets in assays applicable to the treatment and/or diagnosis of integrin-mediated disorders.

Accordingly, in one aspect, the invention provides an antibody, or an antigen  
15 binding fragment thereof, which selectively binds to a modified integrin I-domain in the open conformation. In another aspect, the invention provides an antibody, or an antigen binding fragment thereof, which selectively binds to an integrin I-domain polypeptide in the open conformation, an integrin I-domain polypeptide in the closed conformation, or a modified integrin I-domain polypeptide. In one embodiment, the antibody binds to an  
20 activation specific epitope on the integrin I-domain. In another embodiment, the antibody blocks an interaction between an integrin and a cognate ligand. In one embodiment, the antibody is an anti-LFA-1 antibody, or an antigen binding fragment thereof, *e.g.*, an anti-LFA-1 antibody which reacts with or binds an open or closed conformation of an LFA-1 polypeptide, or a modified LFA-1 I-domain integrin  
25 polypeptide, or fragment thereof.

In another aspect the invention provides a method for identifying a modulator of integrin activity comprising assaying the ability of a test compound to bind to a modified integrin I-domain polypeptide which is stabilized in the open conformation. In another embodiment, the invention provides a method for identifying a compound  
30 capable of modulating the interaction of an integrin and a cognate ligand wherein binding of a ligand to a modified integrin I-domain polypeptide which is stabilized in the open conformation is assayed in the presence and absence of a test compound.

059445365.03404  
T.O.E.S. 992460

In another aspect, the invention provides a composition comprising a modified integrin I-domain polypeptide or an anti-integrin I-domain antibody (or an antigen binding fragment thereof), such composition can further include a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier.

5 In yet another aspect, the invention pertains to methods for treating or preventing an integrin-mediated disorder (*e.g.*, an inflammatory or autoimmune disorder) in a subject, or for inhibiting the binding of an integrin to a cognate ligand in a subject comprising administering to a subject a therapeutically effective amount of a modified integrin I-domain polypeptide stabilized in the open conformation or an antibody (or  
10 antigen binding fragment thereof) which selectively binds to an integrin I-domain in the open conformation. In one embodiment, the antibody is an LFA-1 antibody, or an antigen binding fragment thereof, which specifically reacts with or binds an LFA-1 I-domain in the open conformation or specifically reacts with or binds a modified LFA-1 I-domain polypeptide. In a preferred embodiment, the modified integrin I-domain  
15 polypeptide binds ligand with high affinity. In another preferred embodiment, the modified integrin I-domain polypeptide for therapeutic use is a soluble polypeptide, *e.g.*, a fusion protein.

Other features and advantages of the invention will be apparent from the  
20 following detailed description and claims.

#### **Brief Description of the Figures**

*Figure 1* is a stereodiagram of the high affinity model of the LFA-1 I domain, with mutations to introduce a disulfide bond. The model was prepared using segments  
25 of the putative high affinity Mac-1 I domain structure and a putative low affinity LFA-1 I domain structure as templates. The K287C and K294C mutations were included in the model. The sidechains and disulfide bond of C287 and C294 are shown in yellow. The  $Mg^{2+}$  ion of the MIDAS is shown as a gold sphere. Sidechains of residues important in binding to ICAM-1 and ICAM-2 are shown with rose-pink sidechains and yellow sulfur,  
30 red oxygen, and blue nitrogen atoms. These residues, defined as important in species-specific binding to ICAM-1 (Huang, C and Springer, TA (1995) *J Biol Chem* 270:19008-19016) or by at least a 2-fold effect on binding to ICAM-1 or ICAM-2 upon mutation to alanine (Edwards, CP *et al.*, (1998) *J Biol Chem* 273:28937-28944), are

M140, E146, T175, L205, E241, T243, S245, and K263. Note that these residues surround the  $Mg^{2+}$  ion, and are distant from the disulfide. Prepared with RIBBONS (Carson, M (1997) *Methods in Enzymology*, RM Sweet and CW Carter eds., Academic Press pp. 493-505).

5        *Figure 2* depicts predicted disulfide bonds that are selective for high affinity or low affinity conformers of the LFA-1 I domain. The K287C/K294C mutation (*Panels A, C*) and L289C/K294C mutation (*Panels B, D*) were modeled in both high affinity (*Panels A, B*) and low affinity (*Panels C, D*) I domain conformers. Residues 254 to 305 of the models are shown. The four models were superimposed using residues not  
10 involved in conformational shifts and were used in exactly the same orientation for figure preparation. Therefore, the downward shift in the  $\alpha 6$  helix in panels A and B compared to panels C and D is readily apparent. The remodeling of the loop connecting  $\beta 6$  and  $\alpha 6$  is accompanied by a reversal in the orientation of the sidechain of residue 289 (panel B compared to panel D). Prepared with RIBBONS.

15        *Figure 3* depicts the cell surface expression of LFA-1 cysteine substitution mutants on 293T transient transfectants (*Panel A*), and K562 stable transfectants (*Panel B*) as determined by flow cytometric analysis using monoclonal antibody TS2/4 (shaded histogram) to  $\alpha L$  in  $\alpha L/\beta 2$  complex, or the nonbinding antibody X63 (open histogram). Numbers in the parentheses are clone numbers of the K562 stable transfectants.

20        *Figure 4* depicts the binding of LFA-1 transfectants to immobilized ICAM-1. *Panel A*, 293T transient transfectants, and *Panels B and C*, K562 stable transfectants. In *Panels A and B*, binding of the transfectants to immobilized ICAM-1 was determined in L15 medium containing  $Ca^{2+}$  and  $Mg^{2+}$  in the presence or absence (control) of the activating antibody CBRLFA-1/2 at 10  $\mu g/ml$ . In *Panel C*, the binding assay was  
25 performed in TBS, pH7.5 supplemented with divalent cations or EDTA as indicated. Numbers in the parentheses are clone numbers of the K562 stable transfectants. Results are mean  $\pm$  SD of triplicate samples and representative of at least three experiments.

30        *Figure 5* depicts the binding of soluble ICAM-1-IgA fusion protein to K562 transfectants that express wild-type LFA-1, the predicted high-affinity mutant K287C/K294C, or mutant L289C/K294C as assessed by flow cytometric analysis. Mean fluorescent intensity of ICAM-1-IgA binding is indicated on the upper right corner of the histogram plot. Numbers in the parentheses are clone numbers of the K562 stable transfectants. Results are representative of three experiments.

0945335-03401  
10.01.2000 9:22:46

*Figure 6* depicts the inhibitory activity of lovastatin on ligand binding by cells expressing activated wild-type and high affinity (K287C/K294C) LFA-1.

*Figure 7* depicts the cell surface expression of the isolated LFA-1 I-domains. The wild-type  $\alpha$ L I-domain and the mutant K287C/K294C and L289C/K294C I-domains were expressed on the surface of the K562 transfectants by the PDGFR transmembrane domain. The level of cell surface I-domain was determined by flow cytometry using monoclonal antibody TS1/22 to the I-domain (shaded histogram). Binding of the control mAb X63 is shown as open histograms. Mean fluorescent intensity of TS1/22 binding was indicated on the upper right corner of the histogram plot. Results of two individual clones (#1 and #2) from each I-domain transfectants are shown.

*Figure 8* depicts the ligand binding activity of the cell surface expressed LFA-1 I-domains. *Panel A*, Binding of K562 transfectants to immobilized ICAM-1 in the presence or absence of DTT. Binding was performed in the presence (white bar) or absence (black bar) of DTT. *Panel B*, Effect of divalent cations on binding of K562 transfectants to ICAM-1. Binding was performed in the presence of  $Mn^{2+}$  (black bar),  $Mg^{2+}$  (shaded bar) or EDTA (white bar). In Panels A and B, two clones (#1 and #2) of the transfectants expressing the wild-type I-domain or mutant I-domain were tested. *Panel C*, Effect of LFA-1 blocking antibodies on binding of the K287C/K294C I-domain to ICAM-1. Results are mean  $\pm$  SD of triplicate samples and representative of 3 experiments.

*Figure 9* depicts the surface plasmon resonance sensograms by BIAcore™ recording the interaction of the open (K287C/K294C) or wild-type I-domain with ligands, ICAM-1 (*Panels A and B*), ICAM-2 (*Panels C and D*), and ICAM-3 (*Panels E and F*).

*Figure 10* depicts the inhibition of LFA-1-dependent adhesion *in vitro* by the open  $\alpha$ L I-domain. *Panel A* depicts the adhesion of K562 stable transfectants expressing wild-type LFA-1 to immobilized ICAM-1 in the presence of soluble wild-type (closed circles) or open (K287C/K294C) I-domain (open circles); *Panel B* depicts the homotypic aggregation of the murine EL-4 T lymphoma cell line in the presence of soluble wild-type (closed circles) or open (K287C/K294C) I-domain (open circles).

*Figure 11* depicts the expression and ligand binding activity of the Mac-1 cysteine substitution mutants in transiently transfected 293T cells. *Panel A*, binding of

monoclonal antibodies CBRM1/32 (open bars) and CBRM1/5 (black bars) to intact Mac-1 I-domain mutants. *Panel B*, adhesion of 293T transient transfectants expressing intact Mac-1 cysteine substitution mutants to iC3b coated on plastic. *Panel C*, adhesion of 293T transient transfectants expressing isolated Mac-1 mutant I-domains to iC3b ligand in the presence (black bars) or absence (open bars) of antibody CBRM1/5.

*Figure 12* depicts the expression and ligand binding activity of the Mac-1 cysteine substitution mutants in K562 stable transfectants. *Panel A*, representative histogram showing binding of monoclonal antibodies CBRM1/32 and CBRM1/5 to intact Mac-1 I-domain mutants as assessed by flow cytometry. Mean fluorescent intensity is indicated in the upper right hand corner of the histogram plot. *Panel B*, adhesion of K562 stable transfectants expressing intact Mac-1 cysteine substitution mutants to iC3b coated on plastic. *Panel C*, adhesion of K562 stable transfectants expressing isolated Mac-1 I-mutant I-domains to iC3b ligand. Adhesion was assayed in the presence (black bars) or absence (open bars) of monoclonal antibody CBRM1/5, or in the presence of 10 mM DTT (gray bars).

#### Detailed Description of the Invention

The present invention is based, at least in part, on a method for stabilizing a polypeptide in a desired conformation by introducing at least one disulfide bond into the polypeptide. In one embodiment, based on NMR or crystal structures of specific protein conformations, computational design is used to introduce a disulfide bond that locks the protein in a particular conformation. As used herein, a "conformation" or "conformer" refers to the three dimensional structure of a protein. A "desired" conformation includes a protein conformation that is conducive to a particular use of the polypeptide, *e.g.*, a conformation that supports a particular biological function and/or activity, or a therapeutic effect. As used herein, the terms "polypeptide" and "protein" are used interchangeably throughout.

In one embodiment, a desired conformation is a protein conformation which promotes or activates a biological function and/or activity, *e.g.*, an open or active conformation. In another embodiment, a desired conformation is a protein conformation which inhibits or suppresses a biological function and/or activity, *e.g.*, a closed or inactive conformation.

In particular, the method of the invention includes modeling a protein, or a functional domain thereof, on a template of the desired three-dimensional structure of the protein and introducing cysteines which are able to form a disulfide bond only in the desired conformation of the protein, thus stabilizing the protein in that particular

5 conformation. The protein can be any protein, or domain thereof, for which a three dimensional structure is known or can be generated, and is preferably a protein that exists in two different conformations. Computational algorithms for designing and/or modeling protein conformations are described, for example, in WO 98/47089. The SSBOND program (Hazes, B and Dijkstra, BW (1988) *Protein Engineering* 2:119-125)

10 can be used to identify positions where disulfide bonds can be introduced in a protein structure by mutating appropriately positioned pairs of residues to cysteine.

Disulfide bond formation occurs between two cysteine residues that are appropriately positioned within the three-dimensional structure of a polypeptide. In one embodiment of the invention, a polypeptide is stabilized in a desired conformation by

15 introducing at least one cysteine substitution into the amino acid sequence such that a disulfide bond is formed. The introduction of a single cysteine substitution is performed in circumstances in which an additional cysteine residue is present in the native amino acid sequence of the polypeptide at an appropriate position such that a disulfide bond is formed. In a preferred embodiment, two cysteine substitutions are introduced into the

20 amino acid sequence of the polypeptide at positions that allow a disulfide bond to form, thereby stabilizing the polypeptide in a desired conformation. In another embodiment, the distance between the C $\beta$  carbons of the residues that are substituted for cysteine is 3.00-8.09Å. In yet another embodiment, the distance between the C $\beta$  carbons in the disulfide bond is in the range of 3.41-7.08Å.

25 In one embodiment of the invention, cysteine substitutions are introduced such that the formation of a disulfide bond is favored only in one protein conformation, such that the protein is stabilized in that particular conformation.

Preparation of a modified polypeptide of the invention by introducing cysteine substitutions is preferably achieved by mutagenesis of DNA encoding the polypeptide of

30 interest (*e.g.*, an integrin polypeptide). For example, an isolated nucleic acid molecule encoding a modified integrin I-domain polypeptide can be created by introducing one or more nucleotide substitutions into the nucleotide sequence of an integrin gene such that one or more amino acid substitutions, *e.g.*, cysteine substitutions, are introduced into the

encoded protein. Mutations can be introduced into a nucleic acid sequence by standard techniques, such as site-directed mutagenesis and PCR-mediated mutagenesis.

Suitable proteins include, but are not limited to, industrially and therapeutically important proteins such as: 1) signaling molecules, such as small G proteins, trimeric G  
5 protein alpha subunits, tyrosine kinases, and G protein-coupled receptors; 2) enzymes  
under allosteric control, 3) zymogens that undergo conformational change after  
activation by proteolytic cleavage, such as the proteases (convertases and factors) of the  
complement and clotting cascades, and 4) proteolytically activated effector molecules  
such as complement components C3 and C4, and fibrinogen. In one embodiment, the  
10 method of the invention can be used to stabilize a protein in a biologically active  
conformation, *e.g.*, a conformation that is enzymatically active or has ligand binding  
capacity and/or effector functions, *e.g.*, an "open" conformation. In another  
embodiment, the method of the invention can be used to stabilize a protein in a  
biologically inactive conformation, *e.g.*, a conformation that is enzymatically inactive or  
15 does not have ligand binding capacity and/or effector functions, *e.g.*, a "closed"  
conformation.

Proteins that are stabilized in a particular conformation may find use in, for  
example, in proteomic screening technologies. In proteomic screens of tissues and  
disease states, antibodies, polypeptide, and/or small molecules that are specific for, *e.g.*,  
20 an active protein conformer or an inactive protein conformer, can be used to assess the  
activity of different cellular signaling, metabolic, and adhesive pathways. Thus,  
associations can be made between specific diseases and the activation of specific  
biochemical and signaling pathways. Furthermore, the invention relates to the  
polypeptides, antibodies, and small molecules identified using the methods described  
25 herein and uses for same, *e.g.*, to treat, for example, inflammatory disorders. Conformer-  
specific reagents can also be placed on chips and used to screen tissue extracts, or used  
to stain tissue sections. Furthermore, drugs or antibodies, *e.g.*, anti-integrin antibodies  
which specifically recognize a modified integrin I-domain polypeptide, *e.g.*, an anti-  
LFA-1 antibody which specifically recognizes a modified LFA-1 I-domain polypeptide,  
30 that are selective for a particular conformer, *e.g.*, an open conformer or a closed  
conformer, may provide differential therapeutic effects. Therefore, selective screening  
assays using a protein stabilized in a particular conformer can be used to rationally  
obtain compounds with a desired activity.



### Integrins

Integrins exist on cell surfaces in an inactive conformation that does not bind ligand. Upon cell activation, integrins change shape (conformation) and can bind ligand. Over 20 different integrin heterodimers (different  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  subunit combinations) exist that are expressed in a selective fashion on all cells in the body. After activation, integrins bind in a specific manner to protein ligands on the surface of other cells, in the extracellular matrix, or that are assembled in the clotting or complement cascades. Integrins on leukocytes are of central importance in leukocyte emigration and in inflammatory and immune responses. Ligands for the leukocyte integrin Mac-1 ( $\alpha M\beta 2$ ) include the inflammation-associated cell surface molecule ICAM-1, the complement component iC3b, and the clotting component fibrinogen. Ligands for the leukocyte integrin LFA-1 ( $\alpha L\beta 2$ ) include ICAM-1, ICAM-2, and ICAM-3. Antibodies to leukocyte integrins can block many types of inflammatory and auto-immune diseases, by, *e.g.*, modulating, *e.g.*, inhibiting, for example, cell to cell interactions or cell to extracellular matrix interactions. Integrins on platelets are important in clotting and in heart disease; approved drugs include the antibody abciximab (Reopro™) and the peptide-like antagonist eptifibatide (Integrilin™). Integrins on connective tissue cells, epithelium, and endothelium are important in disease states affecting these cells. They regulate cell growth, differentiation, wound healing, fibrosis, apoptosis, and angiogenesis. Integrins on cancerous cells regulate invasion and metastasis.

To antagonize integrins, drugs are needed that bind to the active, ligand-binding conformation. Most antibodies bind to both the active and inactive conformations, since only a small portion of the surface of the integrin molecule changes shape. It is desirable that antibodies bind only to the active integrin conformation, *e.g.*, the “open” conformation, because binding to the inactive conformation can lead to side reactions, generation of anti-idiotypic antibodies, and result in clearance of the antibody and, thus, requires much higher doses to be administered.

The methods described herein have been successfully used to introduce disulfide bonds into the I domains of the integrins, *e.g.*, LFA-1 and Mac-1. Accordingly, in another aspect, the invention provides a modified integrin I-domain polypeptide containing at least one disulfide bond, such that said modified I-domain polypeptide is

stabilized in a desired conformation. A modified integrin I-domain polypeptide of the invention may be derived from an I-domain of an integrin  $\alpha$  subunit including  $\alpha 1$ ,  $\alpha 2$ ,  $\alpha 10$ ,  $\alpha 11$ ,  $\alpha D$ ,  $\alpha E$ ,  $\alpha L$  (CD11a),  $\alpha M$  (CD11b) and  $\alpha X$  (CD11c).

As used herein, a "modified integrin I-domain polypeptide" or "modified  
5 integrin polypeptide" includes an integrin I-domain polypeptide that has been altered with respect to the wild-type sequence or the native state such that at least one disulfide bond has been introduced into the polypeptide thereby stabilizing the I-domain in a desired conformation.

The terms "derived from" or "derivative", as used interchangeably herein, are  
10 intended to mean that a sequence is identical to or modified from another sequence, *e.g.*, a naturally occurring sequence. Derivatives within the scope of the invention include polynucleotide and polypeptide derivatives. Polypeptide or protein derivatives include polypeptide or protein sequences that differ from the sequences described or known in amino acid sequence, or in ways that do not involve sequence, or both, and still preserve  
15 the activity of the polypeptide or protein. Derivatives in amino acid sequence are produced when one or more amino acid is substituted with a different natural amino acid, an amino acid derivative or non-native amino acid. In certain embodiments protein derivatives include naturally occurring polypeptides or proteins, or biologically active fragments thereof, whose sequences differ from the wild-type sequence by one or more  
20 conservative amino acid substitutions, which typically have minimal influence on the secondary structure and hydrophobic nature of the protein or peptide. Derivatives may also have sequences which differ by one or more non-conservative amino acid substitutions, deletions or insertions which do not abolish the biological activity of the polypeptide or protein.

25 Conservative substitutions (substituents) typically include the substitution of one amino acid for another with similar characteristics (*e.g.*, charge, size, shape, and other biological properties) such as substitutions within the following groups: valine, glycine; glycine, alanine; valine, isoleucine; aspartic acid, glutamic acid; asparagine, glutamine; serine, threonine; lysine, arginine; and phenylalanine, tyrosine. The non-polar  
30 (hydrophobic) amino acids include alanine, leucine, isoleucine, valine, proline, phenylalanine, tryptophan and methionine. The polar neutral amino acids include glycine, serine, threonine, cysteine, tyrosine, asparagine and glutamine. The positively

charged (basic) amino acids include arginine, lysine and histidine. The negatively charged (acidic) amino acids include aspartic acid and glutamic acid.

In other embodiments, derivatives with amino acid substitutions which are less conservative may also result in desired derivatives, *e.g.*, by causing changes in charge, conformation and other biological properties. Such substitutions would include, for example, substitution of hydrophilic residue for a hydrophobic residue, substitution of a cysteine or proline for another residue, substitution of a residue having a small side chain for a residue having a bulky side chain or substitution of a residue having a net positive charge for a residue having a net negative charge. When the result of a given substitution cannot be predicted with certainty, the derivatives may be readily assayed according to the methods disclosed herein to determine the presence or absence of the desired characteristics. The polypeptides and proteins of this invention may also be modified by various changes such as insertions, deletions and substitutions, either conservative or nonconservative where such changes might provide for certain advantages in their use.

In a preferred embodiment, a modified integrin I-domain polypeptide is stabilized in the open conformation, and binds ligand with high affinity.

In one embodiment, a modified integrin I-domain polypeptide of the invention is encoded by an amino acid sequence containing at least one cysteine substitution, and preferably two cysteine substitutions, as compared to the wild-type sequence. In another embodiment, the distance between the C $\beta$  carbons of the residues that are substituted for cysteines is in the range of 3.00-8.09Å, *e.g.*, as predicted by protein modeling. In a further embodiment, the distance between the C $\beta$  carbons in the disulfide bond is in the range of 3.41-7.08Å.

The introduction of cysteine residues at appropriate positions within the amino acid sequence of the I-domain polypeptide allows for the formation of a disulfide bond that stabilizes the domain in a particular conformation, *e.g.*, an active "open" conformation, or an inactive "closed" conformation. For example, the  $\alpha$ L K287C/K294C, E284C/E301C, L161C/F299C, K160C/F299C, L161C/T300C, and L289C/K294C mutants, and the  $\alpha$ M Q163C/Q309C and D294C/Q311C mutants are stabilized in the "open" conformation that bind ligand with high or intermediate affinity, whereas the  $\alpha$ L L289C/K294C mutant and the  $\alpha$ M Q163C/R313C mutants are stabilized in an inactive or "closed" conformation that does not bind ligand. The affinity of

E284C/E301C is nearly comparable to that of K287C/K294C, *e.g.*, high-affinity. The affinity of L161C/F299C, K160C/F299C, and L161C/T300C are significantly higher than wild-type, but 20-30 times lower than high-affinity  $\alpha$ L I-domain, K287C/K294C. L161C/F299C, K160C/F299C, and L161C/T300C are referred to herein as intermediate  
5 affinity  $\alpha$ L I-domains.

In one embodiment, the invention provides a modified integrin I-domain which is comprised within an integrin  $\alpha$  subunit, and which may be further associated with an integrin  $\beta$  subunit. In another embodiment, a modified integrin I-domain polypeptide of the invention is a soluble polypeptide. Furthermore, the invention provides a modified  
10 integrin I-domain polypeptide which is operatively linked to a heterologous polypeptide.

A model of the I-like domain of the integrin  $\beta$ -subunit that is supported by experimental data (Huang, C *et al.* (2000) *J Biol Chem* 275:21514-24) has also been made. The data confirm the location of the key C-terminal  $\alpha$ -helix that undergoes the dramatic 10 Å conformational movement in I domains. The I and I-like domains align  
15 well in this region. Accordingly, in another aspect, the invention provides a modified integrin I-like domain polypeptide containing at least one disulfide bond, such that said modified I-like domain polypeptide is stabilized in a desired conformation.

In a preferred embodiment, a modified integrin I-like domain polypeptide is stabilized in the open conformation, and binds ligand with high affinity. In one  
20 embodiment, a modified integrin I-like domain polypeptide of the invention is encoded by an amino acid sequence containing at least one cysteine substitution, and preferably two cysteine substitutions, as compared to the wild-type sequence.

In one embodiment, the invention provides a modified integrin I-like domain which is comprised within an integrin  $\beta$  subunit, and which may be further associated  
25 with an integrin  $\alpha$  subunit. In another embodiment, a modified integrin I-like domain polypeptide of the invention is a soluble polypeptide. Furthermore, the invention provides a modified integrin I-like domain polypeptide which is operatively linked to a heterologous polypeptide.

Integrins are key targets in many diseases. Accordingly, isolated high affinity I-  
30 domains of the invention, as well as antibodies, or small molecule antagonists selective for activated leukocyte integrins can be used to modulate, *e.g.*, inhibit or prevent, autoimmune and inflammatory disease, transplant rejection, and ischemia/reperfusion injury as in hypovolemic shock, myocardial infarct, and cerebral shock. Furthermore,

00445665-033104

co-crystals of high affinity I domains bound to natural ligands and/or small molecule antagonists can readily be made, which will enable computational drug design, and advance modification and improvement of drug development candidates.

Accordingly, the invention provides a method for identifying a modulator of integrin activity comprising assaying the ability of a test compound to bind to a modified integrin I-domain polypeptide which is stabilized in the open conformation. In another embodiment, the invention provides a method for identifying a compound capable of modulating the interaction of an integrin and a cognate ligand wherein binding of a ligand to a modified integrin I-domain polypeptide which is stabilized in the open conformation is assayed in the presence and absence of a test compound.

The invention also provides a composition comprising a modified integrin I-domain polypeptide or an anti-integrin antibody, *e.g.*, an anti-LFA-1 antibody (or an antigen binding fragment thereof) which selectively binds to a modified integrin I-domain, *e.g.*, an I-domain in the open conformation, and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier. The compositions of the invention are used in therapeutic methods of the invention. For example, the invention provides methods for treating or preventing an integrin-mediated disorder (*e.g.*, an inflammatory or autoimmune disorder) in a subject, or for inhibiting the binding of an integrin to a cognate ligand in a subject comprising administering to a therapeutically effective amount of a modified integrin I-domain polypeptide stabilized in the open conformation or anti-integrin antibody (or an antigen binding fragment thereof) which selectively binds to an integrin I-domain in the open conformation. In a preferred embodiment, the modified integrin I-domain polypeptide binds ligand with high affinity. In another preferred embodiment, the modified integrin I-domain polypeptide for therapeutic use is a soluble polypeptide, *e.g.*, a fusion protein.

As used herein, an integrin mediated disorder includes, for example, an inflammatory or immune system disorder, and/or a cellular proliferative disorder. Examples of integrin-mediated disorders include myocardial infarction, stroke, restenosis, transplant rejection, graft versus host disease or host versus graft disease, and reperfusion injury. An inflammatory or immune system disorder includes, but is not limited to adult respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), multiple organ injury syndromes secondary to septicemia or trauma, viral infection, inflammatory bowel disease, ulcerative colitis, Crohn's disease, leukocyte adhesion deficiency II syndrome, thermal injury, hemodialysis, leukapheresis, peritonitis, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease,

lung inflammation, asthma, acute appendicitis, dermatoses with acute inflammatory components, wound healing, septic shock, acute glomerulonephritis, nephritis, amyloidosis, reactive arthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, chronic bronchitis, Sjorgen's syndrome, sarcoidosis, scleroderma, lupus, polymyositis, Reiter's syndrome, psoriasis, dermatitis, pelvic inflammatory disease, inflammatory breast disease, orbital inflammatory disease, immune deficiency disorders (e.g., HIV, common variable immunodeficiency, congenital X-linked infantile hypogammaglobulinemia, transient hypogammaglobulinemia, selective IgA deficiency, necrotizing enterocolitis, granulocyte transfusion associated syndromes, cytokine-induced toxicity, chronic mucocutaneous candidiasis, severe combined immunodeficiency), autoimmune disorders, and acute purulent meningitis or other central nervous system inflammatory disorders.

A "cellular proliferative disorder" includes those disorders that affect cell proliferation, activation, adhesion, growth, differentiation, or migration processes. As used herein, a "cellular proliferation, activation, adhesion, growth, differentiation, or migration process" is a process by which a cell increases in number, size, activation state, or content, by which a cell develops a specialized set of characteristics which differ from that of other cells, or by which a cell moves closer to or further from a particular location or stimulus. Disorders characterized by aberrantly regulated growth, activation, adhesion, differentiation, or migration. Such disorders include cancer, *e.g.*, carcinoma, sarcoma, lymphoma or leukemia, examples of which include, but are not limited to, breast, endometrial, ovarian, uterine, hepatic, gastrointestinal, prostate, colorectal, and lung cancer, melanoma, neurofibromatosis, adenomatous polyposis of the colon, Wilms' tumor, nephroblastoma, teratoma, rhabdomyosarcoma; tumor invasion, angiogenesis and metastasis; skeletal dysplasia; hematopoietic and/or myeloproliferative disorders.

Various aspects of the invention are described in further detail in the following subsections.

#### Modified Integrin I-domain Polypeptides and Anti-Integrin I-domain Antibodies

The methods of the invention include the use of isolated, modified integrin polypeptides, and biologically active portions thereof. As used herein, a modified integrin

polypeptide includes a modified I-domain polypeptide and a modified I-like domain polypeptide. Modified integrin polypeptides of the invention include modified integrin I-domain and I-like domain polypeptides that are comprised within an integrin  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$  subunit polypeptide, respectively; soluble modified integrin I-domain and I-like domain

5 polypeptides; and modified integrin I-domain and I-like domain polypeptides that are operatively linked to a heterologous polypeptide, *e.g.*, fusion proteins.

The cDNAs for multiple human integrin  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  subunit polypeptides have been cloned and sequenced, and the polypeptide sequences have been determined (see, for example, GenBank Accession Numbers: NM\_002203 ( $\alpha 2$ ), AF112345 ( $\alpha 10$ ), NM\_012211

10 ( $\alpha 11$ ), NM\_005353 ( $\alpha D$ ), NM\_002208 ( $\alpha E$ ), NM\_000887 ( $\alpha X$ ), NM\_000632 ( $\alpha M$ ), NM\_002209 ( $\alpha L$ ), X68742 and P56199 ( $\alpha 1$ ), NM\_000211 ( $\beta 2$ ), NM\_000212 ( $\beta 3$ ), NM\_002214 ( $\beta 8$ )). In particular, the polypeptide sequences encoding human  $\alpha L$  and  $\alpha M$  are set forth as SEQ ID NO:2 (GenBank Accession No. P20701) and SEQ ID NO:4 (GenBank Accession No. P11215), respectively. In addition, the sequences encoding

15 integrin  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  subunit polypeptides from other species are available in the art. Furthermore, as described previously, three dimensional structure of the  $\alpha M$ ,  $\alpha L$ ,  $\alpha 1$  and  $\alpha 2$  I-domains has been solved (Lee, J-O, *et al.* (1995) *Structure* 3:1333-1340; Lee, J-O, *et al.* (1995) *Cell* 80:631-638; Qu, A and Leahy, DJ (1995) *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 92:10277-10281; Qu, A and Leahy, DJ (1996) *Structure* 4:931-942; Emsley, J *et al.* (1997) *J Biol Chem* 272:28512-28517; Baldwin, ET *et al.* (1998) *Structure* 6:923-935; Kallen, J *et al.* (1999) *J Mol Biol* 292:1-9).

20

Isolated modified integrin polypeptides of the present invention preferably have an amino acid sequence that is sufficiently identical to the amino acid sequence of a native integrin polypeptide, yet which comprise at least one, and preferably two cysteine

25 substitutions, such that a disulfide bond is formed that stabilizes the polypeptide in a desired conformation. As used herein, the term "sufficiently identical" refers to an amino acid (or nucleotide) sequence which contains a sufficient or minimum number of identical or equivalent (*e.g.*, an amino acid residue that has a similar side chain) amino acid residues (or nucleotides) to a integrin amino acid (or nucleotide) sequence such that the polypeptide

30 shares common structural domains or motifs, and/or a common functional activity with a native integrin polypeptide. For example, amino acid or nucleotide sequences which share at least 30%, 40%, or 50%, preferably 60%, more preferably 70%, 75%, 80%, 85% or 90%, 91%, 92%, 93%, 94%, 95% or greater identity and share a common functional activity

(e.g., an activity of a modified integrin I-domain or I-like domain as described herein) are defined herein as sufficiently identical. An integrin I-domain polypeptide may differ in amino acid sequence from the integrin polypeptides disclosed herein due to natural allelic variation or mutagenesis.

5 To determine the percent identity of two amino acid sequences or of two nucleic acid sequences, the sequences are aligned for optimal comparison purposes (e.g., gaps can be introduced in one or both of a first and a second amino acid or nucleic acid sequence for optimal alignment and non-identical sequences can be disregarded for comparison purposes). In a preferred embodiment, the length of a reference sequence  
10 aligned for comparison purposes is at least 30%, preferably at least 40%, more preferably at least 50%, even more preferably at least 60%, and even more preferably at least 70%, 80%, or 90% of the length of the reference sequence. The amino acid residues or nucleotides at corresponding amino acid positions or nucleotide positions are then compared. When a position in the first sequence is occupied by the same amino  
15 acid residue or nucleotide as the corresponding position in the second sequence, then the molecules are identical at that position (as used herein amino acid or nucleic acid "identity" is equivalent to amino acid or nucleic acid "homology"). The percent identity between the two sequences is a function of the number of identical positions shared by the sequences, taking into account the number of gaps, and the length of each gap,  
20 which need to be introduced for optimal alignment of the two sequences.

The comparison of sequences and determination of percent identity between two sequences can be accomplished using a mathematical algorithm. In a preferred embodiment, the percent identity between two amino acid sequences is determined using the Needleman and Wunsch (*J. Mol. Biol.* (48):444-453 (1970)) algorithm which  
25 has been incorporated into the GAP program in the GCG software package (available at <http://www.gcg.com>), using either a Blossom 62 matrix or a PAM250 matrix, and a gap weight of 16, 14, 12, 10, 8, 6, or 4 and a length weight of 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6. In yet another preferred embodiment, the percent identity between two nucleotide sequences is determined using the GAP program in the GCG software package (available at  
30 <http://www.gcg.com>), using a NWSgapdna.CMP matrix and a gap weight of 40, 50, 60, 70, or 80 and a length weight of 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6. In another embodiment, the percent identity between two amino acid or nucleotide sequences is determined using the algorithm of E. Meyers and W. Miller (*Comput. Appl. Biosci.*, 4:11-17 (1988)) which



has been incorporated into the ALIGN program (version 2.0), using a PAM120 weight residue table, a gap length penalty of 12 and a gap penalty of 4.

As used herein, a "biologically active portion" of a modified integrin polypeptide (*e.g.*, a modified integrin I-domain polypeptide) includes a fragment of a modified integrin polypeptide which retains a modified integrin polypeptide activity. Typically, a biologically active portion of a modified integrin polypeptide comprises at least one domain or motif with at least one activity of the modified integrin polypeptide, *e.g.*, ligand binding. In a preferred embodiment, biologically active portions of a modified integrin polypeptide include modified integrin I-domain polypeptides. Biologically active portions of a modified integrin polypeptide may comprise amino acid sequences sufficiently identical to or derived from the amino acid sequence of a modified integrin polypeptide, which include less amino acids than the full length modified integrin polypeptide, and exhibit at least one activity of a modified integrin polypeptide. Biologically active portions of a modified integrin polypeptide, *e.g.*, a modified I-domain or I-like domain, can be used as targets for developing agents which modulate a integrin polypeptide activity, *e.g.*, ligand binding, adhesion, *e.g.*, cell to cell adhesion or cell to extracellular matrix adhesion, and/or signaling activity. A biologically active portion of a modified integrin polypeptide comprises a polypeptide which can be prepared by recombinant techniques and evaluated for one or more of the functional activities of a modified integrin polypeptide.

In a preferred embodiment, modified integrin polypeptides are produced by recombinant DNA techniques. For example, a modified integrin polypeptide can be isolated from a host cell transfected with a polynucleotide sequence encoding a modified integrin polypeptide (*e.g.*, a I-domain polypeptide or a soluble I-domain fusion protein) using an appropriate purification scheme using standard protein purification techniques. Alternative to recombinant expression, a modified integrin polypeptide can be synthesized chemically using standard peptide synthesis techniques.

An "isolated" or "purified" polypeptide or protein, or biologically active portion thereof is substantially free of cellular material or other contaminating proteins from the source, *e.g.*, the cellular source, from which the modified integrin I-domain polypeptide is derived, or substantially free from chemical precursors or other chemicals when chemically synthesized. The language "substantially free of cellular material" includes preparations of modified integrin polypeptide in which the protein is separated from

cellular components of the cells from which it is isolated or recombinantly produced. In one embodiment, the language "substantially free of cellular material" includes preparations of modified integrin polypeptide having less than about 30% (by dry weight) of non-modified integrin polypeptide (also referred to herein as a

5 "contaminating protein"), more preferably less than about 20% of non-modified integrin polypeptide, still more preferably less than about 10% of non-modified integrin polypeptide, and most preferably less than about 5% non-modified integrin polypeptide. When the modified integrin polypeptide or biologically active portion thereof is recombinantly produced, it is also preferably substantially free of culture medium, *i.e.*,

10 culture medium represents less than about 20%, more preferably less than about 10%, and most preferably less than about 5% of the volume of the protein preparation.

The language "substantially free of chemical precursors or other chemicals" includes preparations of modified integrin polypeptide in which the protein is separated from chemical precursors or other chemicals which are involved in the synthesis of the

15 protein. In one embodiment, the language "substantially free of chemical precursors or other chemicals" includes preparations of modified integrin polypeptide having less than about 30% (by dry weight) of chemical precursors or non-modified integrin polypeptide chemicals, more preferably less than about 20% chemical precursors or non-modified integrin polypeptide chemicals, still more preferably less than about 10% chemical

20 precursors or non-modified integrin polypeptide chemicals, and most preferably less than about 5% chemical precursors or non-modified integrin polypeptide chemicals.

The methods of the invention may also use modified integrin polypeptides that are chimeric or fusion proteins. As used herein, a modified integrin "chimeric protein" or "fusion protein" comprises a modified integrin polypeptide operatively linked to a

25 non-modified integrin polypeptide, *e.g.*, a heterologous polypeptide. In a preferred embodiment, a modified integrin fusion protein comprises at least an I-domain or an I-like domain. Within the fusion protein, the term "operatively linked" is intended to indicate that the modified integrin polypeptide and the heterologous polypeptide sequences are fused in-frame to each other. The heterologous polypeptide can be fused

30 to the N-terminus or C-terminus of the modified integrin polypeptide.

For example, in a preferred embodiment, the fusion protein is a modified integrin-I-domain fusion protein in which the Fc region, *e.g.*, the hinge, C1 and C2 sequences, of an immunoglobulin, (*e.g.*, human IgG1) is fused to the C-terminus of the

094525 033404

modified integrin sequences. Integrin immunoglobulin chimeras can be constructed essentially as described in WO 91/08298. Such fusion proteins can facilitate the purification of recombinant modified integrin polypeptides. In another embodiment, the fusion protein is a modified integrin I-domain polypeptide fused to a heterologous transmembrane domain, such that the fusion protein is expressed on the cell surface.

The modified integrin polypeptides and fusion proteins of the invention can be incorporated into pharmaceutical compositions and administered to a subject *in vivo*. In an exemplary embodiment, a soluble modified integrin I-domain polypeptide stabilized in an open, ligand binding conformation, or fusion protein thereof may be used to modulate integrin activity (e.g., integrin binding to a cognate ligand) in a subject. In another embodiment, a soluble modified integrin I-domain polypeptide or fusion protein may be used to treat an inflammatory or immune system disorder, e.g., an autoimmune disorder. In another embodiment, a soluble modified integrin polypeptide or fusion protein may be used to treat a cellular proliferative disease. Use of soluble modified integrin polypeptides and fusion proteins can also be used to affect the bioavailability of a integrin ligand, e.g., ICAM.

Moreover, the modified integrin polypeptides and fusion proteins of the invention can be used as immunogens to produce anti-integrin antibodies in a subject, e.g., anti-LFA-1 antibodies, and in screening assays to identify molecules which modulate integrin activity, and/or modulate the interaction of a integrin polypeptide with a integrin ligand or receptor.

Preferably, a modified integrin fusion protein of the invention is produced by standard recombinant DNA techniques. For example, DNA fragments coding for the different polypeptide sequences are ligated together in-frame in accordance with conventional techniques, for example by employing blunt-ended or stagger-ended termini for ligation, restriction enzyme digestion to provide for appropriate termini, filling-in of cohesive ends as appropriate, alkaline phosphatase treatment to avoid undesirable joining, and enzymatic ligation. In another embodiment, the fusion gene can be synthesized by conventional techniques including automated DNA synthesizers. Alternatively, PCR amplification of gene fragments can be carried out using anchor primers which give rise to complementary overhangs between two consecutive gene fragments which can subsequently be annealed and reamplified to generate a chimeric gene sequence (see, for example, *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology*, eds. Ausubel

*et al.* John Wiley & Sons: 1992). Moreover, many expression vectors are commercially available that already encode a fusion moiety (*e.g.*, a GST polypeptide). A modified integrin polypeptide-encoding nucleic acid can be cloned into such an expression vector such that the fusion moiety is linked in-frame to the modified integrin polypeptide.

5       The methods of the present invention may also include the use of modified integrin polypeptides which function as either integrin agonists (mimetics) or as integrin antagonists. An agonist of an integrin polypeptide can retain substantially the same, or a subset, of the biological activities of the naturally occurring form of a integrin polypeptide. An antagonist of an integrin polypeptide can inhibit one or more of the  
10       activities of a native form of the integrin polypeptide by, for example, competitively modulating an integrin activity. Thus, specific biological effects can be elicited by treatment with a modified integrin polypeptide stabilized in a desired conformation.

          An isolated, modified integrin polypeptide, *e.g.*, a modified LFA-1 polypeptide, or a portion or fragment thereof, can be used as an immunogen to generate antibodies  
15       that bind to a specific conformation of an integrin, *e.g.*, an integrin I-domain, using standard techniques for polyclonal and monoclonal antibody preparation (see, generally R. H. Kenneth, in *Monoclonal Antibodies: A New Dimension In Biological Analyses*, Plenum Publishing Corp., New York, New York (1980); E. A. Lerner (1981) *Yale J. Biol. Med.*, 54:387-402; M. L. Gefter *et al.* (1977) *Somatic Cell Genet.* 3:231-36).  
20       Moreover, the ordinarily skilled artisan will appreciate that there are many variations of such methods which also would be useful. Preparation of anti-LFA-1 antibodies is described in, for example, United States Patent No. 5,622,700, the entire content of which is incorporated herein by this reference.

          The term "antibody" as used herein refers to immunoglobulin molecules and  
25       immunologically active portions of immunoglobulin molecules, *i.e.*, molecules that contain an antigen binding site which specifically binds (immunoreacts with) an antigen, *e.g.*, an integrin I-domain in an open or closed conformation, or a modified integrin I-domain, such as an LFA-1 I-domain, *e.g.*, an open or closed LFA-1 I-domain or a modified integrin I-domain of LFA-1. Examples of immunologically active portions of  
30       immunoglobulin molecules include F(ab) and F(ab')<sub>2</sub> fragments which can be generated by treating the antibody with an enzyme such as pepsin. The invention provides polyclonal and monoclonal antibodies that bind a modified integrin polypeptide *e.g.*, a modified LFA-1 polypeptide, or a portion or fragment thereof. The term "monoclonal

antibody" or "monoclonal antibody composition", as used herein, refers to a population of antibody molecules that contain only one species of an antigen binding site capable of immunoreacting with a particular epitope of a modified integrin polypeptide, *e.g.*, a modified LFA-1 polypeptide, or a portion or fragment thereof. A monoclonal antibody  
5 composition thus typically displays a single binding affinity for a particular modified integrin polypeptide, or a portion or fragment thereof with which it immunoreacts.

Alternative to preparing monoclonal antibody-secreting hybridomas, a monoclonal anti-integrin antibody can be identified and isolated by screening a recombinant combinatorial immunoglobulin library (*e.g.*, an antibody phage display  
10 library) with a modified integrin polypeptide, *e.g.*, a modified integrin I-domain stabilized in the open conformation, to thereby isolate immunoglobulin library members that bind to an conformation specific epitope on an integrin polypeptide, *e.g.*, an open conformation. Kits for generating and screening phage display libraries are commercially available (*e.g.*, the Pharmacia *Recombinant Phage Antibody System*,  
15 Catalog No. 27-9400-01; and the Stratagene *SurfZAP™ Phage Display Kit*, Catalog No. 240612). With regard to screening for phage libraries with integrin I-domains locked in the high affinity conformation with a disulfide bond, note that it should be possible to elute specific phage by adding a reducing agent that breaks the disulfide and abolishes the high affinity conformation of the I-domain.

20 Additionally, examples of methods and reagents particularly amenable for use in generating and screening antibody display library can be found in, for example, Ladner *et al.* U.S. Patent No. 5,223,409; Kang *et al.* PCT International Publication No. WO 92/18619; Dower *et al.* PCT International Publication No. WO 91/17271; Winter *et al.* PCT International Publication WO 92/20791; Markland *et al.* PCT International  
25 Publication No. WO 92/15679; Breitling *et al.* PCT International Publication WO 93/01288; McCafferty *et al.* PCT International Publication No. WO 92/01047; Garrard *et al.* PCT International Publication No. WO 92/09690; Ladner *et al.* PCT International Publication No. WO 90/02809; Fuchs *et al.* (1991) *Bio/Technology* 9:1370-1372; Hay *et al.* (1992) *Hum. Antibod. Hybridomas* 3:81-85; Huse *et al.* (1989) *Science* 246:1275-  
30 1281; Griffiths *et al.* (1993) *EMBO J* 12:725-734; Hawkins *et al.* (1992) *J. Mol. Biol.* 226:889-896; Clarkson *et al.* (1991) *Nature* 352:624-628; Gram *et al.* (1992) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 89:3576-3580; Garrad *et al.* (1991) *Bio/Technology* 9:1373-1377;

Hoogenboom *et al.* (1991) *Nuc. Acid Res.* 19:4133-4137; Barbas *et al.* (1991) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 88:7978-7982; and McCafferty *et al.* *Nature* (1990) 348:552-554.

Additionally, recombinant anti-integrin antibodies, such as chimeric and humanized monoclonal antibodies, comprising both human and non-human portions, which can be made using standard recombinant DNA techniques, can also be used in the methods of the present invention. Such chimeric and humanized monoclonal antibodies can be produced by recombinant DNA techniques known in the art, for example using methods described in Robinson *et al.* International Application No. PCT/US86/02269; Akira, *et al.* European Patent Application 184,187; Taniguchi, M., European Patent Application 171,496; Morrison *et al.* European Patent Application 173,494; Neuberger *et al.* PCT International Publication No. WO 86/01533; Cabilly *et al.* U.S. Patent No. 4,816,567; Cabilly *et al.* European Patent Application 125,023; Better *et al.* (1988) *Science* 240:1041-1043; Liu *et al.* (1987) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 84:3439-3443; Liu *et al.* (1987) *J. Immunol.* 139:3521-3526; Sun *et al.* (1987) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 84:214-218; Nishimura *et al.* (1987) *Canc. Res.* 47:999-1005; Wood *et al.* (1985) *Nature* 314:446-449; and Shaw *et al.* (1988) *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* 80:1553-1559; Morrison, S. L. (1985) *Science* 229:1202-1207; Oi *et al.* (1986) *BioTechniques* 4:214; Winter U.S. Patent No. 5,225,539; Jones *et al.* (1986) *Nature* 321:552-525; Verhoeyan *et al.* (1988) *Science* 239:1534; and Beidler *et al.* (1988) *J. Immunol.* 141:4053-4060.

In a preferred embodiment, an anti-integrin antibody of the invention binds selectively to an integrin I-domain in the open, high-affinity conformation, *e.g.*, at an epitope that is unique to an activated integrin (also referred to herein as an activation specific epitope). In a preferred embodiment, an anti-integrin antibody of the invention modulates (*e.g.*, inhibits) the binding interaction between an activated integrin and its cognate ligand. In another embodiment, an anti-integrin antibody inhibits leukocyte adhesion and/or aggregation. In another embodiment, an anti-integrin antibody of the invention binds selectively to an integrin I-domain in an open conformation, *e.g.*, an LFA-1 I-domain in an open conformation, or a modified integrin I-domain, *e.g.*, a modified I-domain of an LFA-1 molecule.

An anti-integrin antibody (*e.g.*, a monoclonal antibody) can be used in the methods of the invention to modulate the expression and/or activity of an integrin or an integrin I-domain polypeptide. An anti-integrin antibody can also be used to isolate modified integrin or integrin I-domain polypeptides, *e.g.*, a modified LFA-1

0094265-033401

polypeptide, or fusion proteins by standard techniques, such as affinity chromatography or immunoprecipitation. In another embodiment, an anti-integrin antibody can be used to remove and/or kill cells expressing activated integrin. Moreover, an anti-integrin antibody can be used to detect integrin polypeptides in a particular conformation (*e.g.*,  
5 an activated integrin), for example, for the localization of stimulated and/or activated leukocytes. Furthermore, an anti-integrin antibody, *e.g.*, an antibody which reacts with or binds an integrin I-domain in an open conformation or a modified integrin I-domain, can be used therapeutically as described herein. Accordingly anti-integrin antibodies can be used diagnostically to monitor protein levels in blood as part of a clinical testing  
10 procedure, *e.g.*, to, for example, detect inflammation. Detection can be facilitated by coupling (*i.e.*, physically linking) the antibody to a detectable substance. Examples of detectable substances include various enzymes, prosthetic groups, fluorescent materials, luminescent materials, bioluminescent materials, and radioactive materials. Examples of suitable enzymes include horseradish peroxidase, alkaline phosphatase,  $\beta$ -  
15 galactosidase, or acetylcholinesterase; examples of suitable prosthetic group complexes include streptavidin/biotin and avidin/biotin; examples of suitable fluorescent materials include umbelliferone, fluorescein, fluorescein isothiocyanate, rhodamine, dichlorotriazinylamine fluorescein, dansyl chloride or phycoerythrin; an example of a luminescent material includes luminol; examples of bioluminescent materials include  
20 luciferase, luciferin, and aequorin, and examples of suitable radioactive material include  $^{125}\text{I}$ ,  $^{131}\text{I}$ ,  $^{35}\text{S}$  or  $^3\text{H}$ .

#### Isolated Nucleic Acid Molecules

The invention includes the use of isolated nucleic acid molecules that encode  
25 integrin polypeptides (*e.g.*, a modified integrin I-domain polypeptide, *e.g.*, a modified integrin I-domain or I-like domain polypeptide) or biologically active portions thereof.

As used herein, the term "nucleic acid molecule" is intended to include DNA molecules (*e.g.*, cDNA or genomic DNA) and RNA molecules (*e.g.*, mRNA) and analogs of the DNA or RNA generated using nucleotide analogs. The nucleic acid  
30 molecule can be single-stranded or double-stranded, but preferably is double-stranded DNA. The nucleotide sequences encoding the wild-type human  $\alpha\text{L}$  and  $\alpha\text{M}$  polypeptides are set forth as SEQ ID NO:1 (GenBank Accession No. NM\_002209) and SEQ ID NO:3 (Genbank Accession No. J03925), respectively. The isolated nucleic acid molecules of

the present invention include the nucleotide sequences of SEQ ID NO:1 and SEQ ID NO:3, which encode the modified amino acid sequences of the  $\alpha$ L and  $\alpha$ M mutants described herein, *e.g.*, identified below in Table 9. Table 9 illustrates the specific nucleotide residues which are altered to result in the modified  $\alpha$ L and  $\alpha$ M mutants as described herein. For example, the  $\alpha$ L K287C/K294C mutant is a modified  $\alpha$ L polypeptide, wherein there is a change in the amino acid sequence of  $\alpha$ L (SEQ ID NO:2) such that amino acid residues 287 and 294 are substituted with cysteine residues. The corresponding wild-type nucleotide sequence, SEQ ID NO:1, is modified at nucleotide residues 1022-1024 and 1143-1145, respectively. Therefore, as shown in Table 9, for the  $\alpha$ L K287C/K294C mutant at amino acid K287, the corresponding nucleotide residues in the wild-type  $\alpha$ L nucleic acid sequence (SEQ ID NO:1), nucleotide residues 1022-1024, are modified from "aaa" to "tgt."

**Table 9.**

Mutants $\alpha$ M or $\alpha$ L	mutations	# Amino Acid	#Nucleotide	Nucleotide sequence	
				WT	mutant
$\alpha$ L	K287C/K294C	K287	1022-1024	aaa	tgt
		K294	1043-1045	aag	tgt
	E284C/E301C	E284	1013-1015	gag	tgt
		E301	1064-1066	gag	tgt
	L161C/F299C	L161	644-646	ctc	tgt
		F299	1058-1060	ttc	tgt
	K160C/F299C	K160	641-643	aaa	tgt
		F299	1058-1060	ctc	tgt
	L161C/T300C	L161	644-646	ctc	tgt
		T300	1061-1063	act	tgt
	L289C/K294C	L289	1028-1030	ctg	tgt
		K294	1043-1045	aag	tgt
$\alpha$ M	Q163C/Q309C	Q163	607-609	caa	tgt
		Q309	1045-1047	cag	tgt
	D294C/Q311C	D294	1000-1002	gat	tgt
		Q311	1051-1053	cag	tgt
	Q163C/R313C	Q163	607-609	caa	tgt
		R313	1057-1059	cgg	tgt

$\alpha$ L; GenBank NM\_002209

$\alpha$ M; GeneBank J03925

15

The term "isolated nucleic acid molecule" includes nucleic acid molecules which are separated from other nucleic acid molecules which are present in the natural source of the nucleic acid. For example, with regards to genomic DNA, the term "isolated" includes nucleic acid molecules which are separated from the chromosome with which



the genomic DNA is naturally associated. Preferably, an "isolated" nucleic acid is free of sequences which naturally flank the nucleic acid (*i.e.*, sequences located at the 5' and 3' ends of the nucleic acid) in the genomic DNA of the organism from which the nucleic acid is derived. For example, in various embodiments, an isolated nucleic acid molecule encoding a modified integrin I-domain polypeptide can contain less than about 5 kb, 4kb, 3kb, 2kb, 1 kb, 0.5 kb or 0.1 kb of nucleotide sequences which naturally flank the nucleic acid molecule in genomic DNA of the cell from which the nucleic acid is derived. Moreover, an "isolated" nucleic acid molecule, such as a cDNA molecule, can be substantially free of other cellular material, or culture medium when produced by recombinant techniques, or substantially free of chemical precursors or other chemicals when chemically synthesized.

The skilled artisan will further appreciate that further changes can be introduced by mutation into the nucleotide sequence encoding a modified integrin polypeptide, thereby leading to changes in the amino acid sequence of the encoded modified integrin polypeptide, without further altering the structural characteristics or functional ability of the modified integrin polypeptide. For example, nucleotide substitutions leading to amino acid substitutions at "non-essential" amino acid residues can be made in the sequence encoding a modified integrin polypeptide. A "non-essential" amino acid residue is a residue that can be altered from the sequence of a modified integrin polypeptide without further altering the structure and/or biological activity. In accordance with the methods of the invention, computational design and modeling are used to determine which amino acid residues are amenable to alteration in order to achieve the desired protein conformation.

Accordingly, the methods of the invention may include the use of nucleic acid molecules encoding modified integrin polypeptides that contain changes in amino acid residues that are not essential for activity.

Preferably, conservative amino acid substitutions are made at one or more predicted non-essential amino acid residues. A "conservative amino acid substitution" is one in which the amino acid residue is replaced with an amino acid residue having a similar side chain. Families of amino acid residues having similar side chains have been defined in the art. These families include amino acids with basic side chains (*e.g.*, lysine, arginine, histidine), acidic side chains (*e.g.*, aspartic acid, glutamic acid), uncharged polar side chains (*e.g.*, glycine, asparagine, glutamine, serine, threonine, tyrosine, cysteine),

nonpolar side chains (*e.g.*, alanine, valine, leucine, isoleucine, proline, phenylalanine, methionine, tryptophan), beta-branched side chains (*e.g.*, threonine, valine, isoleucine) and aromatic side chains (*e.g.*, tyrosine, phenylalanine, tryptophan, histidine). Thus, a predicted nonessential amino acid residue in a modified integrin polypeptide is preferably replaced with another amino acid residue from the same side chain family.

#### Recombinant Expression Vectors and Host Cells

Another aspect of the invention pertains to vectors, for example, recombinant expression vectors, containing a nucleic acid encoding a modified integrin polypeptide (or a portion thereof), *e.g.*, an integrin I-domain or I-like domain polypeptide or fusion protein. As used herein, the term "vector" refers to a nucleic acid molecule capable of transporting another nucleic acid to which it has been linked. One type of vector is a "plasmid", which refers to a circular double stranded DNA loop into which additional DNA segments can be ligated. Another type of vector is a viral vector, wherein additional DNA segments can be ligated into the viral genome. Certain vectors are capable of autonomous replication in a host cell into which they are introduced (*e.g.*, bacterial vectors having a bacterial origin of replication and episomal mammalian vectors). Other vectors (*e.g.*, non-episomal mammalian vectors) are integrated into the genome of a host cell upon introduction into the host cell, and thereby are replicated along with the host genome. Moreover, certain vectors are capable of directing the expression of genes to which they are operatively linked. Such vectors are referred to herein as "expression vectors". In general, expression vectors of utility in recombinant DNA techniques are often in the form of plasmids. In the present specification, "plasmid" and "vector" can be used interchangeably as the plasmid is the most commonly used form of vector. However, the methods of the invention may include other forms of expression vectors, such as viral vectors (*e.g.*, replication defective retroviruses, adenoviruses and adeno-associated viruses), which serve equivalent functions.

The recombinant expression vectors of the invention comprise a nucleic acid of the invention in a form suitable for expression of the nucleic acid in a host cell, which means that the recombinant expression vectors include one or more regulatory sequences, selected on the basis of the host cells to be used for expression, which is operatively linked to the nucleic acid sequence to be expressed. Within a recombinant

expression vector, "operably linked" is intended to mean that the nucleotide sequence of interest is linked to the regulatory sequence(s) in a manner which allows for expression of the nucleotide sequence (*e.g.*, in an *in vitro* transcription/translation system or in a host cell when the vector is introduced into the host cell). The term "regulatory

5 sequence" is intended to include promoters, enhancers and other expression control elements (*e.g.*, polyadenylation signals). Such regulatory sequences are described, for example, in Goeddel; *Gene Expression Technology: Methods in Enzymology* 185, Academic Press, San Diego, CA (1990). Regulatory sequences include those which direct constitutive expression of a nucleotide sequence in many types of host cells and

10 those which direct expression of the nucleotide sequence only in certain host cells (*e.g.*, tissue-specific regulatory sequences). It will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that the design of the expression vector can depend on such factors as the choice of the host cell to be transformed, the level of expression of protein desired, and the like. The expression vectors of the invention can be introduced into host cells to thereby produce

15 proteins or peptides, including fusion proteins or peptides, encoded by nucleic acids as described herein (*e.g.*, modified integrin I-domain polypeptides, fusion proteins, and the like).

Accordingly, the invention provides a method for producing a modified integrin polypeptide, *e.g.*, a modified integrin I-domain polypeptide, by culturing in a suitable

20 medium, a host cell of the invention (*e.g.*, a prokaryotic or eukaryotic host cell) containing a recombinant expression vector such that the protein is produced.

The recombinant expression vectors of the invention can be designed for expression of modified integrin polypeptides or fusion proteins in prokaryotic or eukaryotic cells, *e.g.*, for use in the methods of the invention. For example, modified

25 integrin I-domain polypeptides or fusion proteins can be expressed in bacterial cells such as *E. coli*, insect cells (using baculovirus expression vectors) yeast cells or mammalian cells. Suitable host cells are discussed further in Goeddel, *Gene Expression Technology: Methods in Enzymology* 185, Academic Press, San Diego, CA (1990). Alternatively, the recombinant expression vector can be transcribed and translated *in*

30 *vitro*, for example using T7 promoter regulatory sequences and T7 polymerase.

Expression of proteins in prokaryotes is most often carried out in *E. coli* with vectors containing constitutive or inducible promoters directing the expression of either fusion or non-fusion proteins. Fusion vectors add a number of amino acids to a protein

encoded therein, usually to the amino terminus of the recombinant protein. Such fusion vectors typically serve three purposes: 1) to increase expression of recombinant protein; 2) to increase the solubility and/or stability of the recombinant protein; and 3) to aid in the purification of the recombinant protein by acting as a ligand in affinity purification.

- 5 Often, in fusion expression vectors, a proteolytic cleavage site is introduced at the junction of the fusion moiety and the recombinant protein to enable separation of the recombinant protein from the fusion moiety subsequent to purification of the fusion protein. Such enzymes, and their cognate recognition sequences, include Factor Xa, thrombin and enterokinase. Typical fusion expression vectors include pGEX
- 10 (Pharmacia Biotech Inc; Smith, D.B. and Johnson, K.S. (1988) *Gene* 67:31-40), pMAL (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA) and pRIT5 (Pharmacia, Piscataway, NJ) which fuse glutathione S-transferase (GST), maltose E binding protein, or protein A, respectively, to the target recombinant protein. Purified modified integrin I-domain fusion proteins (e.g., soluble I-domain-Ig) can be utilized to modulate integrin activity,
- 15 as described herein.

- Examples of suitable inducible non-fusion *E. coli* expression vectors include pTrc (Amann *et al.*, (1988) *Gene* 69:301-315) and pET 11d (Studier *et al.*, *Gene Expression Technology: Methods in Enzymology* 185, Academic Press, San Diego, California (1990) 60-89). Target gene expression from the pTrc vector relies on host
- 20 RNA polymerase transcription from a hybrid trp-lac fusion promoter. Target gene expression from the pET 11d vector relies on transcription from a T7 gn10-lac fusion promoter mediated by a coexpressed viral RNA polymerase (T7 gn1). This viral polymerase is supplied by host strains BL21(DE3) or HMS174(DE3) from a resident prophage harboring a T7 gn1 gene under the transcriptional control of the lacUV 5
- 25 promoter.

- One strategy to maximize recombinant protein expression in *E. coli* is to express the protein in a host bacteria with an impaired capacity to proteolytically cleave the recombinant protein (Gottesman, S., *Gene Expression Technology: Methods in Enzymology* 185, Academic Press, San Diego, California (1990) 119-128). Another
- 30 strategy is to alter the nucleic acid sequence of the nucleic acid to be inserted into an expression vector so that the individual codons for each amino acid are those preferentially utilized in *E. coli* (Wada *et al.*, (1992) *Nucleic Acids Res.* 20:2111-2118).

09455-0340

Such alteration of nucleic acid sequences of the invention can be carried out by standard DNA synthesis techniques.

In another embodiment, the expression vector is a yeast expression vector. Examples of vectors for expression in yeast *S. cerevisiae* include pYepSec1 (Baldari, *et al.*, (1987) *EMBO J.* 6:229-234), pMFa (Kurjan and Herskowitz, (1982) *Cell* 30:933-943), pJRY88 (Schultz *et al.*, (1987) *Gene* 54:113-123), pYES2 (Invitrogen Corporation, San Diego, CA), and picZ (InVitrogen Corp, San Diego, CA).

Alternatively, modified integrin polypeptides can be expressed in insect cells using baculovirus expression vectors. Baculovirus vectors available for expression of proteins in cultured insect cells (*e.g.*, Sf 9 cells) include the pAc series (Smith *et al.* (1983) *Mol. Cell Biol.* 3:2156-2165) and the pVL series (Lucklow and Summers (1989) *Virology* 170:31-39).

In yet another embodiment, a nucleic acid of the invention is expressed in mammalian cells using a mammalian expression vector. Examples of mammalian expression vectors include pCDM8 (Seed, B. (1987) *Nature* 329:840) and pMT2PC (Kaufman *et al.* (1987) *EMBO J.* 6:187-195). When used in mammalian cells, the expression vector's control functions are often provided by viral regulatory elements. For example, commonly used promoters are derived from polyoma, Adenovirus 2, cytomegalovirus and Simian Virus 40. For other suitable expression systems for both prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells see chapters 16 and 17 of Sambrook, J., Fritsh, E. F., and Maniatis, T. *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual. 2nd, ed.*, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY, 1989.

In another embodiment, the recombinant mammalian expression vector is capable of directing expression of the nucleic acid preferentially in a particular cell type (*e.g.*, tissue-specific regulatory elements are used to express the nucleic acid). Tissue-specific regulatory elements are known in the art. Non-limiting examples of suitable tissue-specific promoters include the albumin promoter (liver-specific; Pinkert *et al.* (1987) *Genes Dev.* 1:268-277), lymphoid-specific promoters (Calame and Eaton (1988) *Adv. Immunol.* 43:235-275), in particular promoters of T cell receptors (Winoto and Baltimore (1989) *EMBO J.* 8:729-733) and immunoglobulins (Banerji *et al.* (1983) *Cell* 33:729-740; Queen and Baltimore (1983) *Cell* 33:741-748), neuron-specific promoters (*e.g.*, the neurofilament promoter; Byrne and Ruddle (1989) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*

86:5473-5477), endothelial cell-specific promoters (*e.g.*, KDR/flk promoter; U.S. Patent No. 5,888,765), pancreas-specific promoters (Edlund *et al.* (1985) *Science* 230:912-916), and mammary gland-specific promoters (*e.g.*, milk whey promoter; U.S. Patent No. 4,873,316 and European Application Publication No. 264,166). Developmentally-regulated promoters are also encompassed, for example the murine *hox* promoters (Kessel and Gruss (1990) *Science* 249:374-379) and the  $\alpha$ -fetoprotein promoter (Campes and Tilghman (1989) *Genes Dev.* 3:537-546).

Another aspect of the invention pertains to host cells into which a nucleic acid molecule encoding a modified integrin polypeptide of the invention is introduced, *e.g.*, a modified integrin I-domain nucleic acid molecule within a recombinant expression vector or a modified integrin I-domain nucleic acid molecule containing sequences which allow it to homologously recombine into a specific site of the host cell's genome. The terms "host cell" and "recombinant host cell" are used interchangeably herein. It is understood that such terms refer not only to the particular subject cell but to the progeny or potential progeny of such a cell. Because certain modifications may occur in succeeding generations due to either mutation or environmental influences, such progeny may not, in fact, be identical to the parent cell, but are still included within the scope of the term as used herein.

A host cell can be any prokaryotic or eukaryotic cell. For example, a modified integrin polypeptide or fusion protein can be expressed in bacterial cells such as *E. coli*, insect cells, yeast or mammalian cells (such as hematopoietic cells, leukocytes, K562 cells, 293T cells, human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC), human microvascular endothelial cells (HMVEC), Chinese hamster ovary cells (CHO) or COS cells). Other suitable host cells are known to those skilled in the art.

Vector DNA can be introduced into prokaryotic or eukaryotic cells *via* conventional transformation or transfection techniques. As used herein, the terms "transformation" and "transfection" are intended to refer to a variety of art-recognized techniques for introducing foreign nucleic acid (*e.g.*, DNA) into a host cell, including calcium phosphate or calcium chloride co-precipitation, DEAE-dextran-mediated transfection, lipofection, or electroporation. Suitable methods for transforming or transfecting host cells can be found in Sambrook, *et al.* (*Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*. 2nd, ed., Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY, 1989), and other laboratory manuals.

For stable transfection of mammalian cells, it is known that, depending upon the expression vector and transfection technique used, only a small fraction of cells may integrate the foreign DNA into their genome. In order to identify and select these integrants, a gene that encodes a selectable marker (*e.g.*, resistance to antibiotics) is generally introduced into the host cells along with the gene of interest. Preferred selectable markers include those which confer resistance to drugs, such as G418, hygromycin and methotrexate. Nucleic acids encoding a selectable marker can be introduced into a host cell on the same vector as that encoding a modified integrin polypeptide or can be introduced on a separate vector. Cells stably transfected with the introduced nucleic acid can be identified by drug selection (*e.g.*, cells that have incorporated the selectable marker gene will survive, while the other cells die).

A host cell of the invention, such as a prokaryotic or eukaryotic host cell in culture, can be used to produce (*i.e.*, express) a modified integrin polypeptide, *e.g.*, a modified integrin I-domain polypeptide or fusion protein, for use in the methods of the invention. In one embodiment, a host cell (into which a recombinant expression vector encoding a modified integrin I-domain polypeptide or fusion protein has been introduced) is cultured in a suitable medium such that a modified integrin I-domain polypeptide or fusion protein is produced. In another embodiment, a modified integrin I-domain polypeptide or fusion protein is isolated from the medium or the host cell. A recombinant cell expressing a modified integrin polypeptide or fusion protein can also be administered to a subject to modulate integrin activity.

The host cells of the invention can also be used to produce non-human transgenic animals. For example, in one embodiment, a host cell of the invention is a fertilized oocyte or an embryonic stem cell into which a modified integrin I-domain polypeptide-coding sequences have been introduced. Such host cells can then be used to create non-human transgenic animals in which exogenous modified integrin I-domain sequences have been introduced into their genome or homologous recombinant animals in which endogenous integrin I-domain sequences have been altered. Such animals are useful for studying the function and/or activity of a modified integrin I-domain molecule and for identifying and/or evaluating modulators of modified integrin I-domain polypeptide activity. As used herein, a "transgenic animal" is a non-human animal, preferably a mammal, more preferably a rodent such as a rat or mouse, in which one or more of the cells of the animal includes a transgene. Other examples of transgenic animals include

non-human primates, sheep, dogs, cows, goats, chickens, amphibians, and the like. A transgene is exogenous DNA which is integrated into the genome of a cell from which a transgenic animal develops and which remains in the genome of the mature animal, thereby directing the expression of an encoded gene product in one or more cell types or tissues of the transgenic animal. As used herein, a "homologous recombinant animal" is a non-human animal, preferably a mammal, more preferably a mouse, in which an endogenous integrin I-domain gene has been altered by homologous recombination between the endogenous gene and an exogenous DNA molecule introduced into a cell of the animal, *e.g.*, an embryonic cell of the animal, prior to development of the animal.

10 A transgenic animal of the invention can be created by introducing a modified integrin I-domain-encoding nucleic acid into the male pronuclei of a fertilized oocyte, *e.g.*, by microinjection, retroviral infection, and allowing the oocyte to develop in a pseudopregnant female foster animal. Intronic sequences and polyadenylation signals can also be included in the transgene to increase the efficiency of expression of the transgene. A tissue-specific regulatory sequence(s) can be operably linked to a modified integrin I-domain transgene to direct expression of a modified integrin I-domain protein to particular cells. Methods for generating transgenic animals via embryo manipulation and microinjection, particularly animals such as mice, have become conventional in the art and are described, for example, in U.S. Patent Nos. 4,736,866 and 4,870,009, both by Leder *et al.*, U.S. Patent No. 4,873,191 by Wagner *et al.* and in Hogan, B., *Manipulating the Mouse Embryo*, (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y., 1986).

To create a homologous recombinant animal, a vector is prepared which contains at least a portion of a modified integrin I-domain gene into which a deletion, addition or substitution has been introduced to thereby alter, *e.g.*, functionally disrupt, the modified integrin I-domain gene. The modified integrin I-domain gene can be a human gene, but more preferably, is a non-human homologue of a human modified integrin I-domain gene. For example, a mouse modified integrin I-domain gene can be used to construct a homologous recombination nucleic acid molecule, *e.g.*, a vector, suitable for altering an endogenous modified integrin I-domain gene in the mouse genome. In a preferred embodiment, the homologous recombination nucleic acid molecule is designed such that, upon homologous recombination, the endogenous modified integrin I-domain gene is functionally disrupted (*i.e.*, no longer encodes a functional protein; also referred to as



a "knock out" vector). Alternatively, the homologous recombination nucleic acid molecule can be designed such that, upon homologous recombination, the endogenous modified integrin I-domain gene is mutated or otherwise altered but still encodes functional protein (*e.g.*, the upstream regulatory region can be altered to thereby alter the expression of the endogenous modified integrin I-domain protein). In the homologous recombination nucleic acid molecule, the altered portion of the modified integrin I-domain gene is flanked at its 5' and 3' ends by additional nucleic acid sequence of the modified integrin I-domain gene to allow for homologous recombination to occur between the exogenous modified integrin I-domain gene carried by the homologous recombination nucleic acid molecule and an endogenous modified integrin I-domain gene in a cell, *e.g.*, an embryonic stem cell. The additional flanking modified integrin I-domain nucleic acid sequence is of sufficient length for successful homologous recombination with the endogenous gene. Typically, several kilobases of flanking DNA (both at the 5' and 3' ends) are included in the homologous recombination nucleic acid molecule (see, *e.g.*, Thomas, K.R. and Capecchi, M. R. (1987) *Cell* 51:503 for a description of homologous recombination vectors). The homologous recombination nucleic acid molecule is introduced into a cell, *e.g.*, an embryonic stem cell line (*e.g.*, by electroporation) and cells in which the introduced modified integrin I-domain gene has homologously recombined with the endogenous modified integrin I-domain gene are selected (see *e.g.*, Li, E. *et al.* (1992) *Cell* 69:915). The selected cells can then be injected into a blastocyst of an animal (*e.g.*, a mouse) to form aggregation chimeras (see *e.g.*, Bradley, A. in *Teratocarcinomas and Embryonic Stem Cells: A Practical Approach*, E.J. Robertson, ed. (IRL, Oxford, 1987) pp. 113-152). A chimeric embryo can then be implanted into a suitable pseudopregnant female foster animal and the embryo brought to term. Progeny harboring the homologously recombined DNA in their germ cells can be used to breed animals in which all cells of the animal contain the homologously recombined DNA by germline transmission of the transgene. Methods for constructing homologous recombination nucleic acid molecules, *e.g.*, vectors, or homologous recombinant animals are described further in Bradley, A. (1991) *Current Opinion in Biotechnology* 2:823-829 and in PCT International Publication Nos.: WO 90/11354 by Le Mouellec *et al.*; WO 91/01140 by Smithies *et al.*; WO 92/0968 by Zijlstra *et al.*; and WO 93/04169 by Berns *et al.*

5 *Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 89:6232-6236. Another example of a recombinase system is the  
FLP recombinase system of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (O'Gorman *et al.* (1991) *Science*  
251:1351-1355. If a *cre/loxP* recombinase system is used to regulate expression of the  
transgene, animals containing transgenes encoding both the *Cre* recombinase and a  
selected protein are required. Such animals can be provided through the construction of  
10 "double" transgenic animals, *e.g.*, by mating two transgenic animals, one containing a  
transgene encoding a selected protein and the other containing a transgene encoding a  
recombinase.

## Screening Assays

15           The invention provides a method (also referred to herein as a “screening assay”) for identifying modulators, *i.e.*, candidate or test compounds or agents (*e.g.*, peptides, antibodies, peptidomimetics, small molecules (organic or inorganic) or other drugs) which modulate integrin activity. These assays are designed to identify compounds, for example, that bind to an integrin I-domain polypeptide, *e.g.*, an integrin I-domain  
20 polypeptide in an active conformation, bind to other proteins that interact with an integrin I-domain polypeptide, induce binding, and modulate the interaction of an integrin I-domain polypeptide with other proteins, *e.g.*, an integrin ligand, *e.g.*, ICAM, and thus modulate integrin activity.

As used herein, the term “modulator of integrin activity” includes a compound or agent that is capable of modulating or regulating at least one integrin activity, as described herein. Modulators of integrin activity may include, but are not limited to, small organic or inorganic molecules, nucleic acid molecules, peptides, antibodies, and the like. A modulator of integrin activity can be an inducer or inhibitor of integrin activity, *e.g.*, cell adhesion or ligand binding. As used herein, an “inducer of integrin activity” stimulates, enhances, and/or mimics an integrin activity. As used herein, an “inhibitor of integrin activity” reduces, blocks or antagonizes an integrin activity.

As used interchangeably herein, an “integrin activity”, or an “integrin-mediated activity” refers to an activity exerted by an integrin polypeptide or nucleic acid molecule

1. **Introduction**  
 2. **Background**  
 3. **Methodology**  
 4. **Results**  
 5. **Discussion**  
 6. **Conclusion**  
 7. **References**  
 8. **Appendix**  
 9. **Notes**  
 10. **References**  
 11. **Appendix**  
 12. **Notes**  
 13. **References**  
 14. **Appendix**  
 15. **Notes**  
 16. **References**  
 17. **Appendix**  
 18. **Notes**  
 19. **References**  
 20. **Appendix**  
 21. **Notes**  
 22. **References**  
 23. **Appendix**  
 24. **Notes**  
 25. **References**  
 26. **Appendix**  
 27. **Notes**  
 28. **References**  
 29. **Appendix**  
 30. **Notes**  
 31. **References**  
 32. **Appendix**  
 33. **Notes**  
 34. **References**  
 35. **Appendix**  
 36. **Notes**  
 37. **References**  
 38. **Appendix**  
 39. **Notes**  
 40. **References**  
 41. **Appendix**  
 42. **Notes**  
 43. **References**  
 44. **Appendix**  
 45. **Notes**  
 46. **References**  
 47. **Appendix**  
 48. **Notes**  
 49. **References**  
 50. **Appendix**  
 51. **Notes**  
 52. **References**  
 53. **Appendix**  
 54. **Notes**  
 55. **References**  
 56. **Appendix**  
 57. **Notes**  
 58. **References**  
 59. **Appendix**  
 60. **Notes**  
 61. **References**  
 62. **Appendix**  
 63. **Notes**  
 64. **References**  
 65. **Appendix**  
 66. **Notes**  
 67. **References**  
 68. **Appendix**  
 69. **Notes**  
 70. **References**  
 71. **Appendix**  
 72. **Notes**  
 73. **References**  
 74. **Appendix**  
 75. **Notes**  
 76. **References**  
 77. **Appendix**  
 78. **Notes**  
 79. **References**  
 80. **Appendix**  
 81. **Notes**  
 82. **References**  
 83. **Appendix**  
 84. **Notes**  
 85. **References**  
 86. **Appendix**  
 87. **Notes**  
 88. **References**  
 89. **Appendix**  
 90. **Notes**  
 91. **References**  
 92. **Appendix**  
 93. **Notes**  
 94. **References**  
 95. **Appendix**  
 96. **Notes**  
 97. **References**  
 98. **Appendix**  
 99. **Notes**  
 100. **References**

on an integrin responsive cell, or on integrin ligand or receptor, as determined *in vitro* and *in vivo*, according to standard techniques. In one embodiment, an integrin activity is the ability to mediate cell adhesion events, *e.g.*, cell to cell or cell to extracellular matrix adhesion. In another embodiment, an integrin activity is the ability to transduce cellular signaling events. In yet another embodiment, an integrin activity is the ability to bind a ligand, *e.g.*, ICAM.

In a preferred embodiment, a soluble, recombinant high affinity integrin I-domain can be used to screen for small molecule antagonists that interfere with integrin ligand binding. Furthermore, antagonists, *e.g.*, antibodies, with direct/competitive and indirect/noncompetitive modes of inhibition can be discriminated, based on comparison with effects on wild-type integrin I-domains which show minimal ligand binding activity. For example, an indirect inhibitor should inhibit ligand binding by an activated, wild-type integrin I-domain, but not by a disulfide-locked high affinity I-domain.

In another embodiment, an assay is a cell-based assay comprising contacting a cell expressing a modified integrin polypeptide on the cell surface with a test compound and determining the ability of the test compound to modulate (*e.g.*, induce or inhibit) an integrin activity. For example, a cell expressing a modified integrin I-domain polypeptide stabilized in an open conformation on the cell surface is contacted with a test compound, and the ability of the test compound to modulate adhesion to an integrin ligand is determined, as described and exemplified herein.

In yet another embodiment, the ability of a test compound to modulate integrin ligand binding can also be determined, for example, by coupling a modified integrin I-domain polypeptide that is stabilized in an open conformation with a detectable label such that the binding of the modified integrin polypeptide can be determined by detecting the amount of labeled integrin I-domain binding to an immobilized integrin ligand.

Animal-based model systems, such as an animal model of inflammation, may be used, for example, as part of screening strategies designed to identify compounds which are modulators of integrin activity. Thus, the animal-based models may be used to identify drugs, pharmaceuticals, therapies and interventions which may be effective in modulating inflammation and treating integrin-mediated disorders. For example, animal models may be exposed to a compound, suspected of exhibiting an ability to modulate

integrin activity, and the response of the animals to the exposure may be monitored by assessing inflammatory activity before and after treatment. Transgenic animals, *e.g.*, transgenic mice, which express modified integrin I-domain polypeptides as described herein can also be used to identify drugs, pharmaceuticals, therapies and interventions which may be effective in modulating inflammation and treating integrin-mediated disorders

In another aspect, the invention pertains to a combination of two or more of the assays described herein. For example, a modulator of integrin activity can be identified using a cell-based assay, and the ability of the agent to modulate integrin activity can be confirmed *in vivo*, *e.g.*, in an animal such as an animal model for inflammation.

Moreover, screening assays can be used to identify inducers of integrin activity, for example, that mimic the activity of a integrin polypeptide, *e.g.*, the binding of an integrin to a ligand or receptor, or the activity of an integrin towards an integrin responsive cell. Such compounds may include, but are not limited to, peptides, antibodies, or small organic or inorganic compounds. In one embodiment, an anti-integrin antibody, *e.g.*, an anti-LFA-1 antibody of the invention which selectively binds to an open, activated conformer can be used to assess the ability of a test compound to activate integrin.

The test compounds can be obtained using any of the numerous approaches in combinatorial library methods known in the art, including: biological libraries; spatially addressable parallel solid phase or solution phase libraries; synthetic library methods requiring deconvolution; the 'one-bead one-compound' library method; and synthetic library methods using affinity chromatography selection. The biological library approach is limited to peptide libraries, while the other four approaches are applicable to peptide, non-peptide oligomer or small molecule libraries of compounds (Lam, K.S. (1997) *Anticancer Drug Des.* 12:145).

Examples of methods for the synthesis of molecular libraries can be found in the art, for example in: DeWitt *et al.* (1993) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 90:6909; Erb *et al.* (1994) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 91:11422; Zuckermann *et al.* (1994). *J. Med. Chem.* 37:2678; Cho *et al.* (1993) *Science* 261:1303; Carrell *et al.* (1994) *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 33:2059; Carell *et al.* (1994) *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 33:2061; and in Gallop *et al.* (1994) *J. Med. Chem.* 37:1233.

Libraries of compounds may be presented in solution (e.g., Houghten (1992) *Biotechniques* 13:412-421), or on beads (Lam (1991) *Nature* 354:82-84), chips (Fodor (1993) *Nature* 364:555-556), bacteria (Ladner USP 5,223,409), spores (Ladner USP '409), plasmids (Cull *et al.* (1992) *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 89:1865-1869) or on phage  
5 (Scott and Smith (1990) *Science* 249:386-390); (Devlin (1990) *Science* 249:404-406); (Cwirla *et al.* (1990) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 87:6378-6382); (Felici (1991) *J. Mol. Biol.* 222:301-310); (Ladner *supra.*).

This invention further pertains to novel agents identified by the above-described screening assays. With regard to intervention, any treatments which modulate integrin  
10 activity and/or inflammatory activity should be considered as candidates for human therapeutic intervention.

#### Pharmaceutical Compositions

The nucleic acid molecules encoding modified integrin polypeptides, modified  
15 integrin polypeptides (e.g., modified I-domain polypeptides and fusion proteins), and active fragments thereof, anti-integrin I-domain antibodies, and integrin modulators (also referred to herein as "active compounds") DNA vaccines, or DNA vectors of the invention can be incorporated into pharmaceutical compositions suitable for administration. As used herein, a "modulator" of integrin activity, e.g., inhibitors and  
20 inducers, includes a compound that modulates an integrin activity, e.g., an integrin-mediated signaling event, an integrin-mediated adhesion event, or integrin binding to a cognate ligand. Integrin modulators include modified integrin I-domain or I-like domain polypeptides of the invention, anti-integrin I-domain polypeptides, as well as compounds identified in a screening assay described herein. Such compositions  
25 typically comprise the compound, nucleic acid molecule, vector, protein, or antibody and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier. As used herein the language "pharmaceutically acceptable carrier" is intended to include any and all solvents, dispersion media, coatings, antibacterial and antifungal agents, isotonic and absorption delaying agents, and the like, compatible with pharmaceutical administration. The use  
30 of such media and agents for pharmaceutically active substances is well known in the art. Except insofar as any conventional media or agent is incompatible with the active compound, use thereof in the compositions is contemplated. Supplementary active compounds can also be incorporated into the compositions.

- A pharmaceutical composition of the invention is formulated to be compatible with its intended route of administration. Examples of routes of administration include parenteral, *e.g.*, intravenous, intradermal, subcutaneous, oral (*e.g.*, inhalation), transdermal (topical), transmucosal, ophthalmic, and rectal administration, including
- 5 direct installation into a disease site. Solutions or suspensions used for parenteral, intradermal, or subcutaneous application can include the following components: a sterile diluent such as water for injection, saline solution, fixed oils, polyethylene glycols, glycerine, propylene glycol or other synthetic solvents; antibacterial agents such as benzyl alcohol or methyl parabens; antioxidants such as ascorbic acid or sodium
- 10 bisulfite; chelating agents such as ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; buffers such as acetates, citrates or phosphates and agents for the adjustment of tonicity such as sodium chloride or dextrose. pH can be adjusted with acids or bases, such as hydrochloric acid or sodium hydroxide. The parenteral preparation can be enclosed in ampoules, disposable syringes or multiple dose vials made of glass or plastic.
- 15 Pharmaceutical compositions suitable for injectable use include sterile aqueous solutions (where water soluble) or dispersions and sterile powders for the extemporaneous preparation of sterile injectable solutions or dispersion. For intravenous administration, suitable carriers include physiological saline, bacteriostatic water, Cremophor EL™ (BASF, Parsippany, NJ) or phosphate buffered saline (PBS).
- 20 In all cases, the composition must be sterile and should be fluid to the extent that easy syringability exists. It must be stable under the conditions of manufacture and storage and must be preserved against the contaminating action of microorganisms such as bacteria and fungi. The carrier can be a solvent or dispersion medium containing, for example, water, ethanol, polyol (for example, glycerol, propylene glycol, and liquid
- 25 polyetheylene glycol, and the like), and suitable mixtures thereof. The proper fluidity can be maintained, for example, by the use of a coating such as lecithin, by the maintenance of the required particle size in the case of dispersion and by the use of surfactants. Prevention of the action of microorganisms can be achieved by various antibacterial and antifungal agents, for example, parabens, chlorobutanol, phenol,
- 30 ascorbic acid, thimerosal, and the like. In many cases, it will be preferable to include isotonic agents, for example, sugars, polyalcohols such as manitol, sorbitol, sodium chloride in the composition. Prolonged absorption of the injectable compositions can be

brought about by including in the composition an agent which delays absorption, for example, aluminum monostearate and gelatin.

5 Sterile injectable solutions can be prepared by incorporating the active compound (*e.g.*, a soluble modified integrin I-domain fusion protein) in the required amount in an appropriate solvent with one or a combination of ingredients enumerated above, as required, followed by filtered sterilization. Generally, dispersions are prepared by incorporating the active compound into a sterile vehicle which contains a basic dispersion medium and the required other ingredients from those enumerated above. In the case of sterile powders for the preparation of sterile injectable solutions, 10 the preferred methods of preparation are vacuum drying and freeze-drying which yields a powder of the active ingredient plus any additional desired ingredient from a previously sterile-filtered solution thereof.

Oral compositions generally include an inert diluent or an edible carrier. They can be enclosed in gelatin capsules or compressed into tablets. For the purpose of oral 15 therapeutic administration, the active compound can be incorporated with excipients and used in the form of tablets, troches, or capsules. Oral compositions can also be prepared using a fluid carrier for use as a mouthwash, wherein the compound in the fluid carrier is applied orally and swished and expectorated or swallowed. Pharmaceutically compatible binding agents, and/or adjuvant materials can be included as part of the composition. The tablets, pills, capsules, troches and the like can contain any of the 20 following ingredients, or compounds of a similar nature: a binder such as microcrystalline cellulose, gum tragacanth or gelatin; an excipient such as starch or lactose, a disintegrating agent such as alginic acid, Primogel, or corn starch; a lubricant such as magnesium stearate or Sterotes; a glidant such as colloidal silicon dioxide; a 25 sweetening agent such as sucrose or saccharin; or a flavoring agent such as peppermint, methyl salicylate, or orange flavoring.

For administration by inhalation, the compounds are delivered in the form of an aerosol spray from pressured container or dispenser which contains a suitable propellant, *e.g.*, a gas such as carbon dioxide, or a nebulizer.

30 Systemic administration can also be by transmucosal or transdermal means. For transmucosal or transdermal administration, penetrants appropriate to the barrier to be permeated are used in the formulation. Such penetrants are generally known in the art, and include, for example, for transmucosal administration, detergents, bile salts, and

094556 08104  
FOED 524660

fusidic acid derivatives. Transmucosal administration can be accomplished through the use of nasal sprays or suppositories. For transdermal administration, the active compounds are formulated into ointments, salves, gels, or creams as generally known in the art.

5           The compounds can also be prepared in the form of suppositories (*e.g.*, with conventional suppository bases such as cocoa butter and other glycerides) or retention enemas for rectal delivery.

10           In one embodiment, the active compounds are prepared with carriers that will protect the compound against rapid elimination from the body, such as a controlled release formulation, including implants and microencapsulated delivery systems. Biodegradable, biocompatible polymers can be used, such as ethylene vinyl acetate, polyanhydrides, polyglycolic acid, collagen, polyorthoesters, and polylactic acid. Methods for preparation of such formulations will be apparent to those skilled in the art. The materials can also be obtained commercially from Alza Corporation and Nova  
15   Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Liposomal suspensions (including liposomes targeted to infected cells with monoclonal antibodies to viral antigens) can also be used as pharmaceutically acceptable carriers. These can be prepared according to methods known to those skilled in the art, for example, as described in U.S. Patent No. 4,522,811.

20           It is especially advantageous to formulate oral or parenteral compositions in dosage unit form for ease of administration and uniformity of dosage. Dosage unit form as used herein refers to physically discrete units suited as unitary dosages for the subject to be treated; each unit containing a predetermined quantity of active compound calculated to produce the desired therapeutic effect in association with the required pharmaceutical carrier. The specification for the dosage unit forms of the invention are  
25   dictated by and directly dependent on the unique characteristics of the active compound and the particular therapeutic effect to be achieved, and the limitations inherent in the art of compounding such an active compound for the treatment of individuals.

30           The administration of the active compounds of the invention may be for either a prophylactic or therapeutic purpose. Accordingly, in one embodiment, a “therapeutically effective dose” refers to that amount of an active compound sufficient to result in a detectable change in the physiology of a recipient patient. In one embodiment, a therapeutically effective dose refers to an amount of an active compound sufficient to result in modulation of an inflammatory and/or immune response. In



another embodiment, a therapeutically effective dose refers to an amount of an active compound sufficient to result in the amelioration of symptoms of an inflammatory and/or immune system disorder. In another embodiment, a therapeutically effective dose refers to an amount of an active compound sufficient to prevent an inflammatory and/or immune system response. In yet another embodiment, a therapeutically effective dose refers to that amount of an active compound sufficient to modulate an integrin activity (*e.g.*, a signaling activity, an adhesion activity or a ligand binding activity) as described herein.

Toxicity and therapeutic efficacy of such compounds can be determined by standard pharmaceutical procedures in cell cultures or experimental animals, *e.g.*, for determining the LD50 (the dose lethal to 50% of the population) and the ED50 (the dose therapeutically effective in 50% of the population). The dose ratio between toxic and therapeutic effects is the therapeutic index and it can be expressed as the ratio LD50/ED50. Compounds which exhibit large therapeutic indices are preferred. While compounds that exhibit toxic side effects may be used, care should be taken to design a delivery system that targets such compounds to the site of affected tissue in order to minimize potential damage to uninfected cells and, thereby, reduce side effects.

The data obtained from the cell culture assays and animal studies can be used in formulating a range of dosage for use in humans. The dosage of such compounds lies preferably within a range of circulating concentrations that include the ED50 with little or no toxicity. The dosage may vary within this range depending upon the dosage form employed and the route of administration utilized. For any compound used in the method of the invention, the therapeutically effective dose can be estimated initially from cell culture assays. A dose may be formulated in animal models to achieve a circulating plasma concentration range that includes the IC50 (*i.e.*, the concentration of the test compound which achieves a half-maximal inhibition of symptoms) as determined in cell culture. Such information can be used to more accurately determine useful doses in humans. Levels in plasma may be measured, for example, by high performance liquid chromatography.

As defined herein, a therapeutically effective amount of antibody, protein or polypeptide (*i.e.*, an effective dosage) ranges from about 0.001 to 30 mg/kg body weight, preferably about 0.01 to 25 mg/kg body weight, more preferably about 0.1 to 20 mg/kg body weight, and even more preferably about 1 to 10 mg/kg, 2 to 9 mg/kg, 3 to 8

0394525-03404  
T.O.E.S. 592460

mg/kg, 4 to 7 mg/kg, or 5 to 6 mg/kg body weight. Ranges intermediate to the above recited values, also are intended to be part of this invention. For example, ranges of span values using a combination of any of the above recited values as upper and/or lower limits are intended to be included.

5           The skilled artisan will appreciate that certain factors may influence the dosage required to effectively treat a subject, including but not limited to the severity of the disease or disorder, previous treatments, the general health and/or age of the subject, and other diseases present. Moreover, treatment of a subject with a therapeutically effective amount of a protein, polypeptide, or antibody can include a single treatment or,  
10           preferably, can include a series of treatments.

          In a preferred example, a subject is treated with antibody, protein, or polypeptide in the range of between about 0.1 to 20 mg/kg body weight, one time per week for between about 1 to 10 weeks, preferably between 2 to 8 weeks, more preferably between about 3 to 7 weeks, and even more preferably for about 4, 5, or 6 weeks. It will also be  
15           appreciated that the effective dosage of antibody, protein, or polypeptide used for treatment may increase or decrease over the course of a particular treatment. Changes in dosage may result and become apparent from the results of diagnostic assays as described herein.

          In another preferred example, a subject is treated with an initial dosing of a  
20           therapeutically effective amount of an anti-integrin antibody, *e.g.*, an anti-integrin antibody, *e.g.*, an anti-LFA-1 antibody, which reacts with or binds to an I-domain of an integrin in the open or active conformation, or an anti-integrin antibody, *e.g.*, an anti-LFA-1 antibody, which reacts with or binds to a modified LFA-1 I-domain, followed by a subsequent intermittent dosing of a therapeutically effective amount of the antibody  
25           that is less than 100%, calculated on a daily basis, of the initial dosing of the antibody wherein the antibody is administered not more than once per week during the subsequent dosing. In another embodiment, the subsequence dosing is two or more times per week. In another embodiment, the subsequence dosing is one or more time every two weeks. In still another embodiment, the subsequence dosing is one or more  
30           times every three weeks. In yet another embodiment, the subsequence dosing is one or more times every four weeks. In one embodiment, the subsequent dosing is less than about 50%, 45%, 40%, 35%, 30%, 25%, 20%, 15%, 10%, 9%, 8%, 7%, 6%, 5%, 4%, 3%, 2%, or 1%, calculated on a daily basis, of the initial dosing of the antibody. In one

embodiment, the initial dosage is between 0.001 to 30 mg/kg body weight, preferably about 0.01 to 25 mg/kg body weight, more preferably about 0.1 to 20 mg/kg body weight, and even more preferably about 1 to 10 mg/kg, 2 to 9 mg/kg, 3 to 8 mg/kg, 4 to 7 mg/kg, or 5 to 6 mg/kg body weight. In a preferred embodiment, the initial dosage is less than 0.3 mg/kg body weight, *e.g.*, between 0.001 to 0.30, *e.g.*, 0.1, 0.125, 0.15, 0.175, 0.2, 0.225, 0.25, and 0.275. Ranges intermediate to the above recited values, also are intended to be part of this invention.

In yet another example, a subject is treated with an initial dosing of a therapeutically effective amount of an anti-integrin antibody, *e.g.*, an anti-integrin antibody, *e.g.*, an anti-LFA-1 antibody, which reacts with or binds to an I-domain of an integrin in the open or active conformation, or an anti-integrin antibody, *e.g.*, an anti-LFA-1 antibody, which reacts with or binds to a modified LFA-1 I-domain, followed by a subsequent intermittent dosing of a therapeutically effective amount of the antibody that is greater than 100%, calculated on a daily basis, of the initial dosing of the antibody wherein the antibody is administered to the mammal not more than once per week during the subsequent dosing. In another embodiment, the subsequence dosing is two or more times per week. In another embodiment, the subsequence dosing is one or more time every two weeks. In still another embodiment, the subsequence dosing is one or more times every three weeks. In yet another embodiment, the subsequence dosing is one or more times every four weeks. In one embodiment, the initial dosage is between 0.001 to 30 mg/kg body weight, preferably about 0.01 to 25 mg/kg body weight, more preferably about 0.1 to 20 mg/kg body weight, and even more preferably about 1 to 10 mg/kg, 2 to 9 mg/kg, 3 to 8 mg/kg, 4 to 7 mg/kg, or 5 to 6 mg/kg body weight. In a preferred embodiment, the initial dosage is less than 0.3 mg/kg body weight, *e.g.*, between 0.001 to 0.3, *e.g.*, 0.1, 0.125, 0.15, 0.175, 0.2, 0.225, 0.25, and 0.275. Ranges intermediate to the above recited values, also are intended to be part of this invention. Dosages for anti-integrin antibodies, *e.g.*, anti-LFA-1 are described in, for example, U.S. Patent No. 5,622,700.

In still another example, an initial dosage is followed by the same dosage, for example, not more than once per week during the subsequent dosing. In another embodiment, the subsequence dosing is two or more times per week. In another embodiment, the subsequence dosing is one or more time every two weeks. In still another embodiment, the subsequence dosing is one or more times every three weeks.

In yet another embodiment, the subsequence dosing is one or more times every four weeks.

Dosages for anti-integrin antibodies, *e.g.*, anti-LFA-1 are described in, for example, U.S. Patent No. 5,622,700.

5 In another embodiment, the an effective amount of an anti-inflammatory or immunosuppressive agent to the mammal in combination with the antibody, either at the same time, or at different time points.

The present invention encompasses active agents which modulate an integrin activity. An agent may, for example, be a small molecule. For example, such small  
10 molecules include, but are not limited to, peptides, peptidomimetics, amino acids, amino acid analogs, polynucleotides, polynucleotide analogs, nucleotides, nucleotide analogs, organic or inorganic compounds (*i.e.*, including heteroorganic and organometallic compounds) having a molecular weight less than about 10,000 grams per mole, organic or inorganic compounds having a molecular weight less than about 5,000 grams per  
15 mole, organic or inorganic compounds having a molecular weight less than about 1,000 grams per mole, organic or inorganic compounds having a molecular weight less than about 500 grams per mole, and salts, esters, and other pharmaceutically acceptable forms of such compounds. It is understood that appropriate doses of small molecule agents depends upon a number of factors within the ken of the ordinarily skilled  
20 physician, veterinarian, or researcher. The dose(s) of the small molecule will vary, for example, depending upon the identity, size, and condition of the subject or sample being treated, further depending upon the route by which the composition is to be administered, if applicable, and the effect which the practitioner desires the small molecule to have upon the nucleic acid or polypeptide of the invention.

25 Exemplary doses include milligram or microgram amounts of the small molecule per kilogram of subject or sample weight (*e.g.*, about 1 microgram per kilogram to about 500 milligrams per kilogram, about 100 micrograms per kilogram to about 5 milligrams per kilogram, or about 1 microgram per kilogram to about 50 micrograms per kilogram. It is furthermore understood that appropriate doses of a small molecule depend upon the  
30 potency of the small molecule with respect to the expression or activity to be modulated. Such appropriate doses may be determined using the assays described herein. When one or more of these small molecules is to be administered to an animal (*e.g.*, a human) in order to modulate expression or activity of a polypeptide or nucleic acid of the

004466-0004

invention, a physician, veterinarian, or researcher may, for example, prescribe a relatively low dose at first, subsequently increasing the dose until an appropriate response is obtained. In addition, it is understood that the specific dose level for any particular animal subject will depend upon a variety of factors including the activity of the specific compound employed, the age, body weight, general health, gender, and diet of the subject, the time of administration, the route of administration, the rate of excretion, any drug combination, and the degree of expression or activity to be modulated.

In certain embodiments of the invention, a modulator of integrin activity is administered in combination with other agents (*e.g.*, a small molecule), or in conjunction with another, complementary treatment regime. For example, in one embodiment, an inhibitor of integrin activity is used to treat an inflammatory or immune system disorder. Accordingly, the subject may be treated with an inhibitor of integrin activity, and further treated with an anti-inflammatory or immunosuppressive agent.

Further, an antibody, *e.g.*, an anti-LFA-1 antibody, (or fragment thereof) may be conjugated to a therapeutic moiety such as a cytotoxin, a therapeutic agent or a radioactive metal ion. The conjugates of the invention can be used for modifying a given biological response, and the drug moiety is not to be construed as limited to classical chemical therapeutic agents: For example, the drug moiety may be a protein or polypeptide possessing a desired biological activity. Such proteins may include, for example, a coagulation factor such as tissue factor; a protein such as vascular endothelial growth factor (“VEGF”), platelet derived growth factor, and tissue plasminogen activator; biological response modifiers such as, for example, lymphokines, cytokines and growth factors; or a toxin.

Techniques for conjugating such therapeutic moiety to antibodies are well known, see, *e.g.*, Arnon *et al.*, "Monoclonal Antibodies For Immunotargeting Of Drugs In Cancer Therapy", in *Monoclonal Antibodies And Cancer Therapy*, Reisfeld *et al.* (eds.), pp. 243-56 (Alan R. Liss, Inc. 1985); Hellstrom *et al.*, "Antibodies For Drug Delivery", in *Controlled Drug Delivery* (2<sup>nd</sup> Ed.), Robinson *et al.* (eds.), pp. 623-53 (Marcel Dekker, Inc. 1987); Thorpe, "Antibody Carriers Of Cytotoxic Agents In Cancer Therapy: A Review", in *Monoclonal Antibodies '84: Biological And Clinical Applications*, Pinchera *et al.* (eds.), pp. 475-506 (1985); "Analysis, Results, And Future Prospective Of The Therapeutic Use Of Radiolabeled Antibody In Cancer Therapy", in

Monoclonal Antibodies For Cancer Detection And Therapy, Baldwin *et al.* (eds.), pp. 303-16 (Academic Press 1985), and Thorpe *et al.*, "The Preparation And Cytotoxic Properties Of Antibody-Toxin Conjugates", *Immunol. Rev.*, 62:119-58 (1982).

Alternatively, an antibody can be conjugated to a second antibody to form an antibody  
5 heteroconjugate as described by Segal in U.S. Patent No. 4,676,980.

The nucleic acid molecules of the invention, *e.g.*, a nucleic acid molecule encoding, for example, a high-affinity modified integrin I-domain polypeptide, or active fragment thereof, can be used as a gene-based therapy alone, or, can be inserted into vectors and used as gene therapy vectors. Gene therapy is the insertion of a functioning  
10 gene into the cells of a patient (i) to correct an inborn error of metabolism, or (ii) to provide a new function in a cell (Kulver, K. W., "Gene Therapy", 1994, p. xii, Mary Ann Liebert, Inc., Publishers, New York, N.Y.). Vectors, *e.g.*, viral vectors, may be used to introduce and stably express a gene normally expressed in mammals, for example, in a location in the body where that gene is not naturally present. Gene  
15 therapy vectors can be delivered to a subject by, for example, intravenous injection, local administration (see U.S. Patent 5,328,470) or by stereotactic injection (see *e.g.*, Chen *et al.* (1994) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 91:3054-3057). The gene therapy vector can include, for example, DNA encoding an antigen of interest to induce an immune response in the subject *in vivo*. Therefore, the modified integrin I-domain polypeptide,  
20 *e.g.*, a high-affinity modified integrin I-domain polypeptide, or active fragment thereof, acts as an adjuvant to produce an increased antibody reaction to the antigen. The pharmaceutical preparation of the gene therapy vector can include the gene therapy vector in an acceptable diluent, or can comprise a slow release matrix in which the gene delivery vehicle is imbedded. Alternatively, where the complete gene delivery vector  
25 can be produced intact from recombinant cells, *e.g.*, retroviral vectors, the pharmaceutical preparation can include one or more cells which produce the gene delivery system.

The nucleic acid molecules of the invention can also be used in DNA vaccine formulations for therapeutic or prophylactic treatment of integrin-mediated disorders,  
30 *e.g.*, inflammatory disorders. In one embodiment, the DNA vaccine formulation comprises a nucleic acid molecule encoding a modified integrin polypeptide, *e.g.*, a modified integrin I-domain polypeptide, or fragment thereof, coupled with an antigenic component, *e.g.*, DNA encoding an antigenic component. As used herein, an antigenic

component is a moiety that is capable of binding to a specific antibody with sufficiently high affinity to form a detectable antigen-antibody complex. In another embodiment, the DNA vaccine further comprises a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier.

The pharmaceutical compositions can be included in a container, pack, or  
5 dispenser together with instructions for administration.

#### Methods of Treatment

The present invention provides for both prophylactic and therapeutic methods of treating a subject at risk of an integrin-mediated disorder or having an integrin-mediated  
10 disorder such as an inflammatory or immune disorder, and/or a cellular proliferative disorder. "Treatment", as used herein, is defined as the application or administration of a therapeutic agent to a patient, or application or administration of a therapeutic agent to an isolated tissue or cell line from a patient, who has a disease or disorder, a symptom of disease or disorder or a predisposition toward a disease or disorder, with the purpose of  
15 curing, healing, alleviating, relieving, altering, remedying, ameliorating, improving or affecting the disease or disorder, the symptoms of disease or disorder or the predisposition toward a disease or disorder. A therapeutic agent includes, but is not limited to, nucleic acid molecules, DNA vaccines, gene-based therapies, small molecules, peptides, antibodies, *e.g.*, anti-LFA-1 antibodies, which react with or bind to  
20 modified I-domain polypeptides, ribozymes and antisense oligonucleotides.

With regard to both prophylactic and therapeutic methods of treatment, such treatments may be specifically tailored or modified, based on knowledge obtained from the field of pharmacogenomics. "Pharmacogenomics", as used herein, refers to the application of genomics technologies such as gene sequencing, statistical genetics, and  
25 gene expression analysis to drugs in clinical development and on the market. More specifically, the term refers the study of how a patient's genes determine his or her response to a drug (*e.g.*, a patient's "drug response phenotype", or "drug response genotype"). Thus, another aspect of the invention provides methods for tailoring an individual's prophylactic or therapeutic treatment with either the integrin I-domain  
30 polypeptides of the present invention or modulators thereof according to that individual's drug response genotype. Pharmacogenomics allows a clinician or physician to target prophylactic or therapeutic treatments to patients who will most benefit from

the treatment and to avoid treatment of patients who will experience toxic drug-related side effects.

### 1. Prophylactic Methods

5 In one aspect, the invention provides a method for preventing in a subject a disease or condition associated with a integrin-mediated disorder by administering to the subject one or more integrin I-domain polypeptides of the present invention or modulators thereof. Subjects at risk for an integrin-mediated disorder can be identified by, for example, any or a combination of diagnostic or prognostic assays as described  
10 herein. Administration of a prophylactic agent can occur prior to the manifestation of symptoms characteristic of the integrin-mediated disorders, such that a disease or disorder is prevented or, alternatively, delayed in its progression. Depending on the type of integrin-mediated disorder, for example, appropriate integrin I-domain polypeptides of the present invention, or modulators thereof, can be used for treating the subject. The  
15 appropriate agent can be determined based on screening assays described herein.

### 2. Therapeutic Methods

Another aspect of the invention pertains to methods of modulating expression of integrin I-domain polypeptides or their activity for therapeutic purposes (e.g., treating a  
20 subject at risk of an integrin-mediated disorder or having an integrin-mediated disorder such as an inflammatory or immune disorder, and/or a cellular proliferative disorder). Accordingly, in an exemplary embodiment, the modulatory method of the invention involves contacting a cell with one or more integrin I-domain polypeptides of the present invention, or one or more modulators thereof, e.g., an antibody which reacts of  
25 binds to an integrin I-domain in an open conformation or a modified integrin I-domain polypeptide, e.g., an anti-LFA-1 antibody specific for an LFA-1 I-domain in an open conformation or a modified LFA-1 I-domain polypeptide. An agent that modulates integrin I-domain polypeptide activity can be an agent as described herein, such as a nucleic acid or a protein, a target molecule of an integrin I-domain polypeptide (e.g., a  
30 substrate), an antibody which reacts or binds to a modified integrin I-domain polypeptide, an integrin I-domain polypeptide agonist or antagonist, a peptidomimetic of an integrin I-domain polypeptide agonist or antagonist, or other small molecule. In one embodiment, the agent stimulates one or more integrin I-domain polypeptide activities.



Examples of such stimulatory agents include active integrin I-domain polypeptide protein and a nucleic acid molecule encoding integrin I-domain polypeptide that has been introduced into the cell. In another embodiment, the agent inhibits one or more integrin I-domain polypeptide activities. Examples of such inhibitory agents include antisense integrin I-domain polypeptide nucleic acid molecules, gene therapy vectors, DNA vaccines, anti-integrin I-domain polypeptide antibodies, and integrin I-domain polypeptide inhibitors. These modulatory methods can be performed in vitro (e.g., by culturing the cell with the agent) or, alternatively, in vivo (e.g., by administering the agent to a subject). As such, the present invention provides methods of treating an individual afflicted with a disease or disorder characterized associated with an integrin-mediated disorder. In one embodiment, the method involves administering an agent (e.g., an agent identified by a screening assay described herein), or combination of agents that modulates (e.g., upregulates or downregulates) integrin I-domain polypeptide expression or activity.

### 3. Pharmacogenomics

The integrin I-domain polypeptide molecules of the present invention, as well as agents, or modulators which have a stimulatory or inhibitory effect on integrin I-domain polypeptide activity (e.g., integrin I-domain polypeptide gene expression) as identified by a screening assay described herein can be administered to individuals to treat (prophylactically or therapeutically) an integrin-mediated disorder such as an inflammatory or immune disorder, and/or a cellular proliferative disorder. In conjunction with such treatment, pharmacogenomics (i.e., the study of the relationship between an individual's genotype and that individual's response to a foreign compound or drug) may be considered. Differences in metabolism of therapeutics can lead to severe toxicity or therapeutic failure by altering the relation between dose and blood concentration of the pharmacologically active drug. Thus, a physician or clinician may consider applying knowledge obtained in relevant pharmacogenomics studies in determining whether to administer an integrin I-domain polypeptide molecule (and/or a modulator thereof) as well as tailoring the dosage and/or therapeutic regimen of treatment with such molecule and/or modulator.

Pharmacogenomics deals with clinically significant hereditary variations in the response to drugs due to altered drug disposition and abnormal action in affected

persons. See, for example, Eichelbaum, M. et al. (1996) Clin. Exp.Pharmacol. Physiol. 23(10-11): 983-985 and Linder, M.W. et al. (1997) Clin. Chem. 43(2):254-266. In general, two types of pharmacogenetic conditions can be differentiated. Genetic conditions transmitted as a single factor altering the way drugs act on the body (altered drug action) or genetic conditions transmitted as single factors altering the way the body acts on drugs (altered drug metabolism). These pharmacogenetic conditions can occur either as rare genetic defects or as naturally-occurring polymorphisms. For example, glucose-6-phosphate aminopeptidase deficiency (G6PD) is a common inherited enzymopathy in which the main clinical complication is haemolysis after ingestion of oxidant drugs (anti-malarials, sulfonamides, analgesics, nitrofurans) and consumption of fava beans.

One pharmacogenomics approach to identifying genes that predict drug response, known as "a genome-wide association", relies primarily on a high-resolution map of the human genome consisting of already known gene-related markers (e.g., a "bi-allelic" gene marker map which consists of 60,000-100,000 polymorphic or variable sites on the human genome, each of which has two variants). Such a high-resolution genetic map can be compared to a map of the genome of each of a statistically significant number of patients taking part in a Phase II/III drug trial to identify markers associated with a particular observed drug response or side effect. Alternatively, such a high resolution map can be generated from a combination of some ten million known single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the human genome. As used herein, a "SNP" is a common alteration that occurs in a single nucleotide base in a stretch of DNA. For example, a SNP may occur once per every 1000 bases of DNA. A SNP may be involved in a disease process, however, the vast majority may not be disease-associated. Given a genetic map based on the occurrence of such SNPs, individuals can be grouped into genetic categories depending on a particular pattern of SNPs in their individual genome. In such a manner, treatment regimens can be tailored to groups of genetically similar individuals, taking into account traits that may be common among such genetically similar individuals.

As an illustrative embodiment, the activity of drug metabolizing enzymes is a major determinant of both the intensity and duration of drug action. The discovery of genetic polymorphisms of drug metabolizing enzymes (e.g., N-acetyltransferase 2 (NAT 2) and the cytochrome P450 enzymes CYP2D6 and CYP2C19) has provided an

explanation as to why some patients do not obtain the expected drug effects or show exaggerated drug response and serious toxicity after taking the standard and safe dose of a drug. These polymorphisms are expressed in two phenotypes in the population, the extensive metabolizer (EM) and poor metabolizer (PM). The prevalence of PM is  
5 different among different populations. For example, the gene coding for CYP2D6 is highly polymorphic and several mutations have been identified in PM, which all lead to the absence of functional CYP2D6. Poor metabolizers of CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 quite frequently experience exaggerated drug response and side effects when they receive standard doses. If a metabolite is the active therapeutic moiety, PM show no therapeutic  
10 response, as demonstrated for the analgesic effect of codeine mediated by its CYP2D6-formed metabolite morphine. The other extreme are the so called ultra-rapid metabolizers who do not respond to standard doses. Recently, the molecular basis of ultra-rapid metabolism has been identified to be due to CYP2D6 gene amplification.

Alternatively, a method termed the "gene expression profiling" can be utilized to  
15 identify genes that predict drug response. For example, the gene expression of an animal dosed with a drug (e.g., an integrin I-domain polypeptide molecule or integrin I-domain polypeptide modulator) can give an indication whether gene pathways related to toxicity have been turned on.

Information generated from more than one of the above pharmacogenomics  
20 approaches can be used to determine appropriate dosage and treatment regimens for prophylactic or therapeutic treatment an individual. This knowledge, when applied to dosing or drug selection, can avoid adverse reactions or therapeutic failure and thus enhance therapeutic or prophylactic efficiency when treating a subject with an integrin I-domain polypeptide molecule or modulator thereof, such as a modulator identified by  
25 one of the exemplary screening assays described herein.

This invention is further illustrated by the following examples which should not be construed as limiting. The contents of all references, patents and published patent applications cited throughout this application, as well as the figures and sequence listing  
30 are incorporated herein by reference.

### EXAMPLE 1 DESIGN OF LFA-1 AND Mac-1 MUTANTS THAT ARE LOCKED IN OPEN OR CLOSED CONFORMATION

Briefly, I domains with the following protein data bank (PDB) identifiers were structurally superimposed using C $\alpha$  carbons, the CD MALIGN algorithm of MODELLER 4 (Sali, A and Blundell, TL (1993) *J Mol Biol* 234:779-815), and a gap extension penalty of 1 Å: Mac-1, 1ido and 1jlm (Lee, J-O *et al.* (1995) *Structure* 3:1333-1340; Lee, J-O *et al.* (1995) *Cell* 80:631-638); LFA-1, 1lfa molecules A and B (Qu, A and Leahy, DJ (1995) *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 92:10277-10281), 1zon and 1zop (Qu, A and Leahy, DJ (1996) *Structure* 4:931-942); and VLA-2, 1aox (Emsley, J *et al.* (1997) *J Biol Chem* 272:28512-28517). The algorithm found 121 framework residues that were utilized for superposition. A sequence alignment was then done. The 1ido and 1jlm structures were aligned by their sequence, and 1lfa molecule A and 1zon were aligned by structural similarity to 1jlm. Using the structural superposition, and the sequence alignment, the distances between all C $\alpha$  carbons at equivalent sequence positions were calculated using a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet. This was analogous to the comparison between 1jlm and 1ido (Lee, J-O *et al.* (1995) *Structure* 3:1333-1340), except that LFA-1 I domain structures were included. For use as templates for the high affinity, open LFA-1 I domain model, segments from 1lfa molecule A were chosen where differences between all four I domains were small, or differences between 1lfa and 1jlm (low affinity, closed LFA-1 and Mac-1 I domains) were greater than between 1ido and 1jlm

Country	Population		GDP		Exports		Imports		FDI		ODA		ODA/GDP	
	1990	2000	1990	2000	1990	2000	1990	2000	1990	2000	1990	2000	1990	2000
Algeria	10,000,000	12,000,000	1,000,000,000	1,500,000,000	1,000,000,000	1,500,000,000	1,000,000,000	1,500,000,000	1,000,000,000	1,500,000,000	1,000,000,000	1,500,000,000	1,000,000,000	1,500,000,000
Angola	10,000,000	12,000,000	1,000,000,000	1,500,000,000	1,000,000,000	1,500,000,000	1,000,000,000	1,500,000,000	1,000,000,000	1,500,000,000	1,000,000,000	1,500,000,000	1,000,000,000	1,500,000,000
Argentina	30,000,000	35,000,000	100,000,000,000	150,000,000,000	100,000,000,000	150,000,000,000	100,000,000,000	150,000,000,000	100,000,000,000	150,000,000,000	100,000,000,000	150,000,000,000	100,000,000,000	150,000,000,000
Armenia	3,000,000	3,500,000	10,000,000,000	15,000,000,000	10,000,000,000	15,000,000,000	10,000,000,000	15,000,000,000	10,000,000,000	15,000,000,000	10,000,000,000	15,000,000,000	10,000,000,000	15,000,000,000
Australia	15,000,000	18,000,000	100,000,000,000	150,000,000,000	100,000,000,000	150,000,000,000	100,000,000,000	150,000,000,000	100,000,000,000	150,000,000,000	100,000,000,000	150,000,000,000	100,000,000,000	150,000,000,000
Austria	8,000,000	8,500,000	100,000,000,000	150,000,000,000	100,000,000,000	150,000,000,000	100,000,000,000	150,000,000,000	100,000,000,000	150,000,000,000	100,000,000,000	150,000,000,000	100,000,000,000	150,000,000,000
Azerbaijan	7,000,000	8,000,000	10,000,000,000	15,000,000,000	10,000,000,000	15,000,000,000	10,000,000,000	15,000,000,000	10,000,000,000	15,000,000,000	10,000,000,000	15,000,000,000	10,000,000,000	15,000,000,000
Bahrain	1,000,000	1,500,000	10,000,000,000	15,000,000,000	10,000,000,000	15,000,000,000	10,000,000,000	15,000,000,000	10,000,000,000	15,000,000,000	10,000,000,000	15,000,000,000	10,000,000,000	15,000,000,000
Bangladesh	100,000,000	120,000,000	10,000,000,000	15,000,000,000	10,000,000,000	15,000,000,000	10,000,000,000	15,000,000,000	10,000,000,000	15,000,000,000	10,000,000,000	15,000,000,000	10,000,000,000	15,000,000,000
Barbados	250,000	280,000	1,000,000,000	1,500,000,000	1,000,000,000	1,500,000,000	1,000,000,000	1,500,000,000	1,000,000,000	1,500,000,000	1,000,000,000	1,500,000,000	1,000,000,000	1,500,000,000
Belarus	10,000,000	12,000,000	10,000,000,000	15,000,000,000	10,000,000,000	15,000,000,000	10,000,000,000	15,000,000,000	10,000,000,000	15,000,000,000	10,000,000,000	15,000,000,000	10,000,000,000	15,000,000,000
Belgium	9,000,000	10,000,000	100,000,000,000	150,000,000,000	100,000,000,000	150,000,000,000	100,000,000,000	150,000,000,000	100,000,000,000	150,000,000,000	100,000,000,000	150,000,000,000	100,000,000,000	150,000,000,000
Belize	350,000	400,000	1,000,000,000	1,500,000,000	1,000,000,000	1,500,000,000	1,000,000,000	1,500,000,000	1,000,000,000	1,500,000,000	1,000,000,000	1,500,000,000	1,000,000,000	1,500,000,000
Benin	10,000,000	12,000,000	10,000,000,000	15,000,000,000	10,000,000,000	15,000,000,000	10,000,							

(open and closed Mac-1 I domains). Segments from 1ido were chosen when differences between 1ido and 1jlm were greater than between 1lfa and 1jlm. These segments were spliced together in regions where the backbones were as similar as possible. Thus, the template utilized segments G128 to F136, M154 to L203, F209 to L234, T243 to I255, and E272 to A282 of 1lfa; and segments D140 to F156, G207 to T211, V238 to K245, R266 to R281, and R293 to K315 of 1ido. No chain breaks were detected by LOOK™ (Molecular Application Group, Palo Alto, CA) in the spliced template, dubbed lfa-mac. Models of a high affinity open form of LFA-1 were made with MODELLER 4™ using this template, the Mg<sup>2+</sup> and water molecules 403 and 404 of 1ido, with heteroatom, water, and hydrogen input turned on, and dynamic Coloumb turned on. The resulting model (lfa\_hi.063) followed the template Cα coordinates closely (RMS = 0.12Å). The QUACHK score (Vriend, G (1990) *J Mol Graph* 8:52-56) is excellent (-0.135 compared to -0.215 for the lfa-mac template, -0.08 for 1ido, and 0.0 for 1lfa).

The SSBOND program (Hazes, B and Dijkstra, BW (1988) *Protein Engineering* 2:119-125) was used to identify positions where disulfide bonds could be introduced by mutating two appropriately positioned pairs of residues to cysteine. It was hypothesized that it might be possible to use disulfide bonds to trap the LFA-1 I domain in either the open or closed conformations.

The high affinity open LFA-1 I domain model (the lfa\_hi.063 model) was examined and two low affinity closed LFA-1 I domain structures, 1lfa and 1zon, with SSBOND and found 14 to 19 pairs of such residues in each structure. Out of these, one pair of residues in the high affinity open model, and one pair of residues in the low affinity closed structures, underwent large movements between the two conformers, such that disulfide bond formation could only occur in one conformer (Figure 1). These disulfides bridge β-strand 6 to the C-terminal α-helix, α6. The numbering of β-strands and α-helices differs among I domains; we use a uniform nomenclature (Huang, C *et al.* (2000) *J Biol Chem*, 275:21514-24). Helix α6 moves 10 Å along its axis down the body of the I domain in the high affinity open structure, and this movement is accompanied by a complete remodeling and downward shift of the loop between β6 and α6. Cysteines introduced in place of K287 and K294 were predicted to form a disulfide only in the high affinity open conformer, and thus lock the I domain in the high affinity open state (Figure 2). The Cβ carbons of K287 and K294 are predicted to be 3.8 Å apart in the high affinity open model (lfa\_hi.063), within the range of 3.41 to 4.25Å that is ideal

094403460

for disulfide formation, and after checking for C $\beta$ -S $\gamma$  and S $\gamma$ -S $\gamma$  distances, were found to have four favorable sidechain-disulfide conformations. By contrast, in the low affinity closed conformers 1lfa and 1zon, the C $\beta$  atoms of these residues are 8.9 to 9.2 Å apart (Figure 2).

5 Cysteines introduced in place of L289 and K294 were predicted to form a disulfide only in the low affinity closed conformer (Figure 2), and thus lock the I domain in the low affinity closed state. The C $\beta$  carbons of L289 and K294 are 3.9 to 4.0 Å apart in the low affinity closed 1lfa and 1zon conformers, within the favorable range, although favorable cysteine sidechain conformations were not found. Nonetheless, the  
10  $\alpha$ -helix in which residue 294 is present shows small displacements between 1lfa, 1zon, and the recent NMR structure (Qu, A and Leahy, DJ (1995) *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 92:10277-10281; Qu, A and Leahy, DJ (1996) *Structure* 4:931-942; Kallen, J et al. (1999) *J Mol Biol* 292:1-9), and it was expected that a disulfide could form with minor adjustment of the  $\alpha$ -helix. By contrast, in the high affinity open model, the C $\beta$  atoms of  
15 these residues are predicted to be 8.0 Å apart (Figure 2).

Models were also built in which the predicted cysteines were present and disulfide bonds were formed if appropriate using the PATCH DISULFIDE routine of MODELLER 4 (Figure 2); however, it should be noted that all C $\beta$  atom distances reported here are based on models or structures without introduced disulfides.

20 In addition to the computational search for pairs of cysteine substitutions to form conformation-specific disulfide bridge, the structure-oriented manual approach (or visual inspection) was also used. Regions of I domains that differ in conformation between the open and closed conformations were inspected for positions in which pairs of cysteines could be introduced that would form disulfides that would favor one  
25 conformation over the other. Thus, the region of the conformationally mobile C-terminal  $\alpha$ -helix and the preceding loop were examined for positions in which one cysteine could be introduced, and structurally adjacent regions were searched for positions where a second cysteine could be introduced that would form a disulfide bond. Pairs of residues whose side-chains face towards one another were chosen. The distance between the  
30 C $\alpha$  and C $\beta$  atoms of each of these pairs was measured by software Look™ both in the open and closed conformation. The ideal separation for cysteine C $\beta$  carbons for formation of a disulfide bond is reported to be 3.41 to 4.25 Å. However, the crystal structures or models from which these were measured represent average positions of

5 residues was in a loop or helix.

L161C/T300C (Table 1).

For  $\alpha$ M, 4 pairs of cysteine substitutions were found where the C $\alpha$ -C $\alpha$  and C $\beta$ -C $\beta$  distances were more favorable for disulfide formation in the open conformation than in the closed conformation: Q163C/Q309C, Q298C/N301C, D294C/T307C, and D294C/Q311c (Table 7), and one pair of cysteine substitutions where the C $\alpha$ -C $\alpha$  and C $\beta$ -C $\beta$  distances were more favorable for disulfide formation in the closed conformation than in the open conformation: Q163C/R313C. Additionally, F297C/A304C, which is an analogous mutation to K287C/K294C in  $\alpha$ L, was included.

[illegible]

## EXAMPLE 2 CONSTRUCTION AND EXPRESSION OF LFA-1 CYSTEINE SUBSTITUTION MUTANTS

Five open  $\alpha$ L I-domain mutants were generated. To generate the high affinity open mutant K287C/K294C, the K287 and K294 in the I-domain of the  $\alpha$ L subunit were replaced by cysteines. To generate the high affinity open mutant E284C/E301C, the E284 and E301 in the I-domain of the  $\alpha$ L subunit were replaced by cysteines. In addition, three intermediate-affinity open  $\alpha$ L I-domain mutants were made, and are identified herein as follows: L161C/F299C, K160C/F299C, and L161C/T300C. L161C/F299C was made by substituting cysteines for the L161 and F299.

10 K160C/F299C was made by substituting cysteines for the K160 and F299. L161C/T300C was made by substituting cysteines for the L161 and T300. The low affinity closed mutant L289C/K294C was made by substituting cysteines for the L289 and K294. The distance between mutated residues for these six mutant is shown in Table 1, below. Also, single cysteine substitution mutants K287C, L289C and K294C

15 were generated.

100-2925460



**Table 1. C $\alpha$  and C $\beta$  between mutated residues in either open or closed confirmation**

$\alpha$ L I-domain	open conformation		closed conformation	
	C $\alpha$ (A)	C $\beta$ (A)	C $\alpha$ (A)	C $\beta$ (A)
<u>Locked open</u>				
K287C/K294C	6.32	3.75	10.72	9.08
E284C/E301C	9.12	6.96	12.88	12.52
L161C/F299C	9.16	8.09	11.87	11.38
K160C/F299C	9.97	7.75	9.83	7.96
L161C/T300C	12.30	13.00	13.50	14.87
<u>Locked closed</u>				
L289C/K294C	7.90	7.96	6.19	3.86

The distance between wild-type residues was measured by Look™ software in open conformation (1fa\_hi.063) or closed conformation (1lfaA).

The human  $\alpha$ L cDNA was contained in vector AprM8, a derivative of CDM8 (Seed, B and Aruffo, A (1987) *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 84:3365-3369). Overlap extension PCR was used to generate cysteine substitution mutations in the  $\alpha$ L I-domain (Ho, SN *et al.* (1989) *Gene* 77:51-59; Horton, RM *et al.* (1990) *BioTechniques* 8:528). The outer left primer for PCR extension was complementary to the vector sequence at 5' to the EcoRI site at position 1826, and the outer right primer was 3' to the EcoRI site in the  $\alpha$ L cDNA. The inner primers were designed for each individual mutation and contained overlapping sequences. Wild-type  $\alpha$ L CDNA in AprM8 was used as template for the first PCR reaction. The second PCR product was digested with EcoRI and ligated into the same site in the wild-type  $\alpha$ L cDNA in AprM8. The correct orientation of the insert was confirmed by restriction enzyme digestion. All mutations were confirmed by DNA sequencing.

For stable expression, the XbaI fragment of  $\alpha$ L wild-type and mutant cDNA was subcloned into the same site of the stable expression vector pEFpuro (Lu, C and Springer, TA. (1997) *J Immunol* 159:268-278).

The mutated  $\alpha$ L subunit was transiently coexpressed with the  $\beta$ 2 subunit in 293T cells, and cell surface expression of the  $\alpha$ L/ $\beta$ 2 complex was determined by flow cytometry with monoclonal antibody TS2/4 to the  $\alpha$ L subunit in the  $\alpha$ L/ $\beta$ 2 complex.

Briefly, human embryonic kidney 293T cells (SV40 transformed) were cultured in DMEM medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 2 mM glutamine and 50 µg/ml gentamycin. 293T cells were transiently transfected using the calcium phosphate method (DuBridge, RB *et al.* (1987) *Mol Cell Biol* 7:379-387; Heinzl, SS *et al.* (1988) *J Virol* 62:3738-3746). Briefly, 7.5 µg of wild-type or mutant αL cDNA in plasmid AprM8 and 7.5 µg of β2 cDNA in AprM8 were used to co-transfect one 6-cm plate of 70-80% confluent cells. Two days after transfection, cells were detached from the plate with Hanks' balanced salt solution (HBSS) containing 5 mM EDTA for LFA-1 expression and functional analyses.

Flow cytometric analysis was performed as previously described (Lu, C and Springer, TA (1997) *J Immunol* 159:268-278). Briefly, cells were washed and resuspended in L15 medium (Sigma) supplemented with 2.5% FBS (L15/FBS).  $1 \times 10^5$  cells were incubated with primary antibodies in 100 µl L15/FBS on ice for 30 min. Monoclonal antibodies were used at final concentration of 1:20 hybridoma supernatant, 1:200 ascites, or 10 µg/ml purified IgG. Cells were then washed twice with L15/FBS, and incubated with FITC-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (heavy and light chain, Zymed Laboratories, San Francisco, CA) for 30 min on ice. After washing, cells were resuspended in cold PBS and analyzed on a FACScan (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA).

As shown in Figure 3A, the predicted high and low affinity mutants, and the single cysteine substitution mutants expressed similar levels of cell surface αL/β2 complex.

To test whether introducing the cysteines affected the overall conformation of the I-domain, a panel of monoclonal antibodies to different regions in the I-domain were tested for their reactivity with the I-domain mutants. The monoclonal antibodies used in these studies are as follows:

The mouse anti-human αL (CD11a) monoclonal antibodies TS1/11, TS1/12, TS1/22, TS2/4, TS2/6 and TS2/14; anti-β2 (CD18) monoclonal antibodies TS1/18, CBRLFA-1/2, and CBRLFA-1/7; mAb YFC51; and the nonbinding mAb X63 have been described previously (Sanchez-Madrid, F *et al.* (1982) *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 79:7489-7493; Hale, LP *et al.* (1989) *Arthritis Rheum* 32:22-30; Petruzzelli, L *et al.* (1995) *J Immunol* 155:854-866). Monoclonal antibodies BL5, F8.8, 25-3-1, May.035,

Monoclonal antibodies X63 and TS1/11 were used as hybridoma supernatants at a 1:20 dilution; monoclonal antibodies TS1/12, DBRLFA-1/2, CBRLFA-1/7 and

All of the antibodies, except for CBRLFA-1/1, bound to the mutants K287C/K294C and L289C/K294C and wild-type LFA-1 equally well (Table 2), indicating that the cysteine

substitutions did not disrupt the I-domain structure. Binding of monoclonal antibody CBRLFA-1/1 to the high-affinity open mutant K287C/K294C was reduced to 40-50% of wild-type, however, this antibody reacted with mutant L289C/K294C and the single cysteine substitution mutants K287C, L289C and K294C as well as wild-type. Since antibody CBRLFA-1/1 maps to residues 301-359 (Huang, C and Springer, TA (1995) *J Biol Chem* 270:19008-19016), and single Cys substitution for K287 and K294 did not affect binding of this antibody, it is likely that reduced binding of CBRLFA-1/1 to mutant K287C/K294C was an indirect effect. Therefore, the conformation at the interface between the I- and  $\beta$ -propeller domains in mutant K287C/K294C may be different from that in wild-type LFA-1.

The reactivity of antibody to the  $\beta$ -propeller domain of  $\alpha$ L and to the  $\beta$ 2 subunit with mutants K287C/K294C and L289C/K294C was similar to that of wild-type LFA-1, confirming that the structure of other domains of LFA-1 molecule was not affected by the mutations.

Table 2. Reactivity of antibodies with LFA-1 cysteine substitution mutants (% wild-type binding)

Mab	epitope	K287C/K294C				L298C/K294C				K287C	L289C	K294C
		293T	K562	293T	K562	293T	K562	293T	K562	293T	K562	
I-domain												
BL5	119-153, 185-215	92.4+11.29	92.39	85.79+16.4	97.61	93.35	92.44	88.31				
F8.8	119-153, 185-215	93.70	102.15	83.56	93.88	95.86	99.63	95.47				
CBRLFA-1/9	119-153, 185-215	ND	84.7	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND				
TS2/6	154-183	84.88±5.64	89.24	78.59±2.62	95.89	91.39	88.24	91.67				
May.035	185-215	92.61±8.4	92.59	82.14±14.15	101.10	95.8	95.4	106.39				
TS1/11	185-215	94.36	95.96	93.67	104.54	ND	ND	ND				
TS1/12	185-215	88.66	87.32	101.98	105.63	99.32	103.89	93.68				
TS1/22	185-302	95.85±12.04	93.06	90.96±8.11	110.49	102.99	96.21	92.24				
TS2/14	250-303	85.54±9.38	95.41	83.31±10.59	102.85	102.6	100.4	102.83				
25-3-1	250-303	93.06	88.48	90.93	85.66	ND	ND	ND				
CBRLFA-1/1	I- and β-propeller	43.59±0.58	55.53	95.89±7.74	118.44	86.11	93.32	89.41				
S6F1	β-propeller	89.39	97.38	95.32	85.69	98.3	86.39	92.34				
β2 subunit												
TS1/18	I-like domain	99.82+10.47	97.42	95.72±4.67	105.71	87.88	87.35	107.58				
YFC51	I-like domain	102.63	100.73	95.09	110.96	ND	ND	ND				
CLBLFA-1/1	I-like domain	ND	96.48	ND	100.50	ND	ND	ND				
CBRLFA-1/7	C-terminal region	95.32	95.25	91.68	97.19	ND	ND	ND				

Wild-type LFA-1 and LFA-1 mutant K287C/K294C, L289C/K294C, K287C, L289C, and K294C were transiently expressed on the surface of 293T cells or stably expressed on K562 transfectants. Reactivity of antibodies with the transfectants was determined by flow cytometry. Mean fluorescence of each antibody binding was normalized to the mean fluorescence of mAb TS2/4 binding, except for CBRLFA-1/9 that was normalized to mAb TS1/22 binding. TS2/4 bound to wild-type LFA-1 and the mutants equally well. The results are expressed as percent of wild-type binding. Data are mean ±SD of at least two independent FCAS experiments. For some antibodies, only one experiment was done. ND: not determined.

### EXAMPLE 3      LIGAND BINDING ACTIVITY OF LFA-1 CYSTEINE SUBSTITUTION MUTANTS

The ability of the LFA-1 cysteine substitution mutants to bind to the LFA-1 ligand ICAM-1 was determined. 293T cell transfectants that express wild-type LFA-1 and the predicted high-affinity open I-domain mutant K287C/K294C showed constitutively strong binding to immobilized ICAM-1 (Figure 4A). By contrast, the low-affinity closed mutant L289C/K294C did not bind to ICAM-1. Whereas the single cysteine substitution mutants K287C and L289C exhibited reduced binding to ICAM-1, binding of mutant K294C was comparable to that of the wild-type. Binding of mutants K287C and L289C was increased by the activating monoclonal antibody CBRLFA-1/2 to a level similar to wild-type binding. However, CBRLFA-1/2 was not able to activate binding of the low-affinity closed mutant L289C/K294C to ICAM-1 (Figure 4A). Similar results were obtained with two other LFA-1 activating monoclonal antibodies Kim127 and Kim185. To further study the function of the predicted high affinity mutant K287C/K294C and low affinity closed mutant L289C/K294C, stable K562 transfectants that express these mutants were generated.

Briefly, the human erythroleukemia cell line K562 was cultured in RPMI 1640, 10% FBS and 50 µg/ml gentamycin. For generating stable K562 cell lines, 2 µg of PvuI-linearized pEFpuro containing  $\alpha$ L subunit cDNA was cotransfected with 40 µg of SfiI-linearized AprM8 containing the  $\beta$ 2 subunit cDNA by electroporation at 250V and 960 µF. Transfectants were selected for resistance to 4 µg/ml puromycin (Sigma), and subcloned by limiting dilution. All stable cell lines were maintained in RPMI 1640, 10% FBS supplemented with 4 µg/ml puromycin.

Clones of the transfectants that expressed similar levels of cell surface LFA-1, as determined by flow cytometry using monoclonal antibody TS2/4 (Figure 3B), were tested for their ability to bind to immobilized ICAM-1, as previously described (Lu, C and Springer, TA (1997) *J Immunol* 159:268-278).

Briefly, ICAM-1 was purified from human tonsil, and coated to 96-well plates as described previously (Lu, C and Springer, TA (1997) *J Immunol* 159:268-278). Cells were labeled with a fluorescence dye 2',7'-bis-(carboxyethyl)-5-(and-6)-carboxyfluorescein, acetoxymethyl ester (BCECF-AM), and resuspended to  $1 \times 10^6$ /ml in L15/FBS. 50 µl cell suspension was mixed in ICAM-1 coated wells with an equal volume of L15/FBS in the absence or presence of monoclonal antibody (CBRLFA-1/2, 10 µg/ml). Monoclonal

094566-0001

antibodies were used at final concentration of 1:20 hybridoma supernatant, 1:200 ascites, or 10 µg/ml purified IgG. For testing the effect of divalent cations, BCECF-AM- labeled cells were washed 2 x with TS buffer, pH7.5 (20 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl) containing 5 mM EDTA, followed by 2 washes with TS buffer, pH7.5. Cells were then resuspended to  $5 \times 10^5$ /ml in the TS buffer, pH7.5 supplemented with 1 mM  $MgCl_2/CaCl_2$ ,  $MgCl_2$ ,  $MnCl_2$  or 5 mM EDTA, and 100 µl cell suspension was added to ICAM-1 coated wells. After incubation at 37°C for 30 minutes, unbound cells were washed off on a Microplate Autowasher (Bio-Tek Instruments, Winooski, VT). The fluorescence content of total input cells and the bound cells in each well was quantitated on a Fluorescent Concentration Analyzer (IDEXX, Westbrook, ME). The bound cells were expressed as a percentage of total input cells per sample well.

K562 transfectants that express wild-type LFA-1 showed low basal binding to ICAM-1, and binding was greatly increased by the activating monoclonal antibody CBRLFA-1/2 (Figure 4B). By contrast, cells expressing the predicted high-affinity open mutant K287C/K294C strongly bound to ICAM-1, and monoclonal antibody CBRLFA-1/2 did not further enhance binding of this mutant, whereas the predicted low-affinity closed mutant L289C/K294C did not binding to ICAM-1 even in the presence of the activating antibody.

The effect of divalent cations on binding of K562 transfectants to ICAM-1 was also examined. As shown in Figure 4C, binding of mutant K287C/K294C to ICAM-1 was abolished in the presence of EDTA, confirming that ligand binding of mutant K287C/K294C is divalent cation dependent. Whereas binding of wild-type LFA-1 was greatly enhanced by  $Mn^{2+}$ , and to a lesser degree by  $Mg^{2+}$ , the presence of  $Mn^{2+}$  and  $Mg^{2+}$  did not increase binding of the low-affinity closed mutant L289C/K294C to ligand.

The binding of soluble ICAM-1 to K562 transfectants that expressed wild-type LFA-1, mutant K287C/K294C, or mutant L289C/K294C was also assessed. Briefly, a soluble ICAM-1-IgA chimera containing the 5 Ig domains of human ICAM-1 was purified from the culture supernatant of stable CHO transfectants by monoclonal antibody R6.5 affinity chromatography as previously described (Martin, S *et al.* (1993) *J Virol* 67:3561-3568). K562 transfectants were washed once with L15/FBS, and resuspended in the same buffer to  $1 \times 10^7$ /ml. 25 µl cell suspension was mixed with 25 µl L15/FBS containing ICAM-1-IgA fusion protein at final concentration 100 µg/ml in the presence or absence of antibody CBRLFA-1/2 (10 µg/ml), and incubated at 37°C for 30 minutes. After incubation, cells were washed once in L15/FBS, and incubated with FITC-conjugated anti-human IgA (Sigma) at

room temperature for 20 minutes. After 2 washes, cells were resuspended in PBS, and analyzed on a FACScan (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA).

As shown in Figure 5, the soluble ICAM-1-IgA fusion protein bound to cells expressing the high-affinity open mutant K287C/K294C, and binding was further increased in the presence of the activating monoclonal antibody CBRLFA-1/2. However, the ICAM-1 fusion protein did not bind to the transfectants that expressed wild-type LFA-1 or the low affinity closed mutant L289C/K294C in the absence or presence of monoclonal antibody CBRLFA-1/2, and binding was not detected at a higher ICAM-1 fusion protein concentration (300 µg/ml).

Taken together these data indicate that the high affinity open mutant K287C/K294C is constitutively active, whereas the low-affinity closed mutant L289C/K294C appears to be locked in an inactive state and lacks ligand binding ability.

In another study, a panel of monoclonal antibodies to different domains of the  $\alpha$ L and  $\beta$ 2 subunits were tested for their inhibitory effect on ligand binding of wild-type LFA-1 and mutant K287C/K294C. The results obtained with the 293T transient transfectants and K562 stable transfectants were similar, and summarized in Table 3. Although all antibodies, except for CBRLFA-1/1, reacted with the high affinity open mutant K287C/K294C as well as wild-type (Table 2), they showed differential inhibition on ligand binding of wild-type LFA-1 and mutant K287C/K294C.

As shown in Table 3, the I-domain antibodies differentially inhibited binding of wild-type LFA-1 and the high affinity open mutant K287C/K294C to ICAM-1. Monoclonal antibodies BL5, F8.8, CBRLFA-1/9, May.035, TS1/22 and TS2/6 strongly inhibited binding of both wild-type and mutant K287C/K294C, and the levels of inhibition to wild-type LFA-1 and the mutant were similar. While monoclonal antibodies TS1/11 and TS1/12 inhibited >90% binding of transfectants that express wild-type LFA-1, these antibodies showed reduced inhibition on binding of mutant K287C/K294C (40-60%). Monoclonal antibodies TS2/14, 25-3-1 and CBRLFA-1/1 that showed >90% inhibition on binding of wild-type had no to little inhibition on mutant K287C/K294C binding to ICAM-1. While the  $\beta$ -propeller domain antibody S6F1 and TS2/4 and antibody CBRLFA-1/7 to the C-terminal region of the  $\beta$ 2 subunit did not inhibit binding of both wild-type and mutant K287C/K294C, all five antibodies to the  $\beta$ 2 conserved domain, TS1/18, YFC51, CLBLFA-1/1, May.017, and 6.5E, inhibited binding of wild-type LFA-1 (>90% inhibition), but did not inhibit binding of mutant K287C/K294C.

[illegible]



**Table 3. Differential inhibition of antibodies on binding of wild-type LFA-1 and mutant K287C/K294C to immobilized ICAM-1**

MAb	epitope	% inhibition			
		wild-type LFA-1		K287C/K294C	
		293T	K562 (+CBRLFA-1/2)	293T	K562
RR1/1	I-CAM-1	95.98	ND	97.89	ND
	I-domain				
BL5	119-153, 185-215	97.01±1.63	97.54	91.06±3.8	90.68±6.23
F8.8	119-153, 185-215	94.51	97.61	91.94	98.18
CBRLFA-1/9	119-153, 185-215	ND	97.83	ND	3.60
TS2/6	154-183	96.84±1.73	91.76±4.67	79.09±10.06	88.12±7.40
May.035	185-215	96.20±0.57	95.80±1.66	97.43±1.52	93.33±2.54
TS1/11	185-215	94.12	96.55	45.18	41.30
TS1/12	185-215	95.68±3.92	97.46±0.66	48.96±9.52	63.67±8.13
TS1/22	250-303	95.77	96.94±0.79	95.07	93.56±4.79
TS2/14	250-303	94.47±2.34	96.24±1.70	2.95±9.87	8.55±0.66
25-3-1	250-303	90.49	92.01±0.36	3.71	2.53±4.10
CBRLFA-1/1	I- and $\beta$ -propeller	92.52±1.68	94.69±5.22	9.03	2.85±4.90
S6F1	$\beta$ -propeller	ND	6.19	ND	9.70
TS2/4	$\beta$ -propeller	ND	6.99	ND	2.82
	$\beta$ 2 subunit				
TS1/18	I-like domain	ND	98.48	ND	5.90
YFC51	I-like domain	ND	98.43	ND	0.08
CLBLFA-1/1	I-like domain	ND	94.63	ND	6.69
May.017	I-like domain	ND	97.76	ND	2.98
6.5E	I-like domain	ND	98.36	ND	5.79
CBRLFA-1/7	C-terminal region	ND	5.04	ND	5.77

Wild-type LFA-1 and LFA-1 mutant K287C/K294C were transiently expressed on the surface of 293T cells or stably expressed in K562 transfectants. Binding of the transfectants to immobilized ICAM-1 was determined in the presence of the indicated antibodies. For binding of K562 transfectants that express wild-type LFA-1, the cells were preincubated with the activating mAb CBRLFA-1/2 at 10  $\mu$ g/ml for 30 min. Data shown are % inhibition  $\pm$  SD of at least two independent experiments. % inhibition is defined as % bound cells in the presence of the indicated mAb/% bound cells in the presence of the nonbinding mAb X63 x 100. For some antibodies, only one experiment was done. However, in each experiment, each antibody was repeated in triplicate, and the standard deviation of the triplicate samples was <5%. ND: not determined.

Taken together, these results suggest that a subset of I-domain antibodies and antibodies to the  $\beta 2$  conserved domain do not directly block LFA-1 binding to ICAM-1, and that the high-affinity open mutant K287C/K294C appears to be conformationally locked in a high affinity open state, and thus, antibodies that block ligand binding via indirect mechanisms could not block binding of mutant K287C/K294C to ICAM-1.

The high affinity open I-domains of the invention can be used to discriminate between direct/competitive and indirect/non-competitive modes of inhibition of LFA-1. For example, the LFA-1 inhibitor lovastatin binds to the I-domain in a hydrophobic pocket formed by the  $\beta$  sheet and the C-terminal  $\alpha$ -helix (Kallen, J et al. (1999) *J Mol Biol* 292:1-9) and thus inhibits LFA-1 by an indirect mechanism. Accordingly, the ability of lovastatin to inhibit ligand binding of the high-affinity I-domain (K287C/K294C) was assessed. Lovastatin dissolved in DMSO at 50 mM was diluted in assay buffer. Cells ( $10^6$ /ml) labeled with BCECF-AM were preincubated with lovastatin (0-50 $\mu$ M) at 37°C for 15 minutes, then transferred to a 96 well plate coated with ICAM-1 and further incubated at 37°C for 30 minutes in the presence or absence of activating monoclonal antibody (CBR LFA1/2) or  $MnCl_2$ . L15 medium supplemented with fetal bovine serum (L15/FBS) which contains  $Ca^{2+}$  and  $Mg^{2+}$  was used for wild-type  $\alpha L\beta 2$  activated by antibody CBR LFA1/2. and 20 mM HEPES pH7.4, 140 mM NaCl, 1mM  $MnCl_2$ , 2 mg/ml glucose, 1% BSA was used for activation by  $Mn^{2+}$ .

As shown in Figure 6, lovastatin inhibits ICAM-1 binding by cells expressing wild-type LFA-1 and stimulated with  $Mn^{2+}$  or antibody (CBRLFA1/2), but does not interfere with ligand binding by the high affinity open K287C/K294C mutant (HA/aLb2).

#### EXAMPLE 4            EXPRESSION AND FUNCTION OF ISOLATED WILD-TYPE AND MUTANT LFA-1 I-DOMAINS

To further examine the function of the predicted high and low affinity mutants, the wild-type I-domain and the I-domains of mutant K287C/K294C and L289C/K294C from residues V130 to A338 were expressed on the surface of K562 cells by the transmembrane domain of the PDGF receptor.

To construct the isolated, cell-surface expressed I domains, DNA sequences that encode the signal peptide and the following 6 amino acids from the 5' end of repeat II of  $\alpha$ L were ligated to the sequences encoding residues V130-A338 that contains the I domain. HindIII and SalI sites were introduced immediately adjacent to the 5' and 3' ends of this fragment, respectively. The HindIII-SalI fragment was subcloned in frame at the 5' to the c-myc tag and the PDGF receptor (PDGFR) transmembrane domain in vector pDisplay™ (Invitrogen), and further subcloned into pcDNA3.1/Hygro using HindIII and NotI. All DNA amplification was carried out with Pfu DNA polymerase (Stratagene), and the final constructs were verified by DNA sequencing.

For generating stable K562 transfectants that express the I-domain on the surface, 20  $\mu$ g of SspI-linearized pcDNA3.1/Hygro(+) containing the sequences encoding the I domain and the PDGFR transmembrane domain was used to transfect K562 cells by electroporation as described above. Transfectants were selected for resistance to 100  $\mu$ g/ml hygromycin B, and were further subcloned by cell sorting and limiting dilution; clones that expressed similar levels of surface wild-type and mutant I domain-PDGFR were selected for functional studies. Stable cell lines were maintained in RPMI medium 1640 supplemented with 10% FBS and 100  $\mu$ g/ml hygromycin B. Cell surface expression of the isolated I-domains was determined by flow cytometry using antibody TS1/22 to the I-domain (Figure 7). Two clones from each transfectant were selected and tested for binding to immobilized ICAM-1, and similar results were obtained with each of the two clones (Figure 8A). Transfectants that expressed intact wild-type LFA-1 showed low basal binding to ICAM-1. However, cells that expressed the isolated wild-type I-domain and the mutant L289C/K294C I-domain did not bind to ICAM-1. This suggests that the isolated wild-type I-domain alone is not sufficient to mediate strong and stable interaction with ligand (Knorr, R and Dustin, ML (1997) *J*

*Exp Med* 186:719-730). By contrast, cells that expressed the mutant K287C/K294C I-domain showed strong binding to ICAM-1.

If the constitutive ligand binding activity of mutant K287C/K294C is due to the formation of a disulfide bond between the introduced C287 and C294, disruption of the disulfide bond with a reducing agent would abolish ligand binding ability of the mutant. Accordingly, the transfectants were treated with the reducing agent DTT (10 mM) in L15/FBS containing  $Mg^{2+}$  and  $Ca^{2+}$ , and the ability of transfectants to bind to ICAM-1 was assessed. As shown in Figure 8A, binding of the cell surface-expressed mutant K287C/K294C I-domain to ICAM-1 was abolished after DTT treatment. By contrast, DTT increased binding of intact wild-type LFA-1, and similar results were observed with intact  $\alpha IIb\beta 3$  integrin. DTT treatment presumably disrupts disulfide bonds in the intact molecule that constrain the integrin in an inactive conformation. However, DTT treatment did not affect binding of the isolated wild-type I-domain or the mutant L289C/K294C I-domain. Since there is no other disulfide bond in the LFA-1 I-domain as the I-domain structure reveals, these data strongly suggest that the introduced Cys287 and Cys294 formed a disulfide bridge that constrains the I-domain in a high affinity state.

Furthermore, the effect of divalent cations on ligand binding of the isolated I-domains expressed on the surface of K562 transfectants was tested. The binding reactions were performed in HEPES/NaCl/glucose (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 140 mM NaCl, 2 mg/ml glucose) supplemented with 1 mM  $Mn^{2+}$ , 1 mM  $Mg^{2+}$ , or 1 mM EDTA. As shown in Figure 8B, the binding of the K287C/K294C I-domain to ICAM-1 was divalent cation dependent, as EDTA treatment abolished the binding. In contrast to intact wild-type LFA-1,  $Mn^{2+}$  or  $Mg^{2+}$  did not activate ligand binding of the isolated wild-type I-domain or the mutant L289C/K294C I-domain.

The effect of the I-domain antibodies on ligand binding of the isolated K287C/K294C I-domain was also examined. Transfectants expressing intact LFA-1 were pre-incubated with the activating antibody CBRLFA-1/2, and binding of the cells to ICAM-1 was performed in the presence of the I-domain antibodies TS1/22, TS2/6, TS1/11, TS1/12, CBRLFA-1/9, CBRLFA-1/1, 25.3.1, TS2/14, or the nonbinding antibody X63, as indicated. Monoclonal antibodies TS1/22, TS2/6, TS1/11, TS1/12 and CBRLFA-1/9 inhibited binding of the isolated K287C/K294C I-domain to ICAM-1, whereas antibodies 25-3-1, TS214 and CBRLFA-1/1 did not (Figure 8C). All

antibodies, except for CBRLFA-1/1, bound to the mutant K287C/K294C I-domain as well as the wild-type I-domain as determined by flow cytometry. The binding of CBRLFA-1/1 to the mutant I-domain was reduced to 80% of the wild-type I-domain. These results are consistent with those obtained with the intact LFA-1 K287C/K294C mutant (Tables 2 and 3), and indicate that the isolated K287C/K294C I-domain remains structural integrity as in the intact molecule.

#### **EXAMPLE 5            INHIBITION OF LFA-1 FUNCTION IN VITRO AND IN VIVO BY SOLUBLE I-DOMAIN MUTANTS**

10

A soluble  $\alpha$ L I-domain mutant stabilized in the open conformation by a disulfide bond (K287C/K294C) was made in *E. coli*.

Briefly, recombinant mutant  $\alpha$ L I-domain stabilized in the open conformation (K287C/K294C), or recombinant wild-type  $\alpha$ L I-domain from amino acid residue G128 to Y307, were cloned into pET11b (Novagen) and expressed in *E. coli* induced with 1 mM IPTG for 4 hours. The recombinant proteins were purified from inclusion bodies by solubilization of inclusion bodies in 6M guanidine HCl and were refolded by dilution in the presence of 0.1 mM  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$ /phenanthroline to enhance formation of disulfide bonds. Protein was concentrated by ammonium sulfate precipitation, dialyzed, and purified over a monoQ ion-exchange column. To remove any material in which the disulfide bond did not form, free sulfhydryls were reacted with activated biotin and passed over a streptavidin column. The recombinant proteins were then purified by gel filtration and concentrated by Centriprep. For BIAcore™ analysis, recombinant ICAM-1, ICAM-2 and ICAM-3 Fc chimeras were immobilized on the BIAcore™ sensor chip by an amine-coupling method. Recombinant  $\alpha$ L I-domains were flowed in, and BIAcore™ assays were performed with Tris-buffered saline supplemented with 1 mM  $\text{MgCl}_2$  or 2 mM EDTA, at a flow rate of 10  $\mu\text{l}/\text{minute}$  at 25°C.

The purified open I-domain showed high affinity to its ligands, ICAM-1, -2, and -3, in the presence of 1 mM  $\text{MgCl}_2$  as assessed by BIAcore™ analysis, whereas binding of a soluble wild-type I domain was not detectable (Figure 9, Panels A, C and E; Table 4). The interaction of the open I-domain with ligands was divalent cation-dependent, and was abolished in the presence of 2 mM EDTA, suggesting that the interaction depends on MIDAS. Since the wild-type I-domain showed no interaction with ligands,

the open I-domain allowed for the detailed analysis of the binding kinetics of LFA-1 with its ligands. To analyze binding kinetics, different concentrations of open I-domain were tested for ligand binding (Figure 9, Panels B, D and F). Kinetic analysis demonstrated a fast association rate ( $1.28 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ ) and an intermediate dissociation rate ( $0.0230 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) for ICAM-1, the major ligand on endothelial cells (Table 4). The  $K_D$  for ICAM-1 is in the nanomolar range and ICAM-1 showed the highest affinity, followed by ICAM-2 and ICAM-3. The open I-domain also showed nanomolar range affinity for murine ICAM-1.

**Table 4. Kinetics of open I-domain binding to ICAMs**

Ligand	$k_{on} (\text{M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1})$	$k_{off} (\text{s}^{-1})$	$K_D (\text{nM}^{-1})$
ICAM-1	$1.28 \times 10^5$	0.0230	180
ICAM-2	$0.23 \times 10^5$	0.0118	513
ICAM-3	$0.19 \times 10^5$	0.0749	3942

10  $k_{on}$ ,  $k_{off}$ , and  $K_D$  were calculated based on 1:1 interaction model using BIAevaluation™ software.

In another study, measurements of the affinity of the recombinant, soluble high affinity  $\alpha\text{L}$  I domain for its ligand ICAM-1 show a  $K_d$  of 200 nM, as assessed by BIAcore. Thus, the isolated, high affinity conformer of the  $\alpha\text{L}$  I domain is as active as the most activated  $\alpha\text{L}\beta 2$  heterodimer.

The activity of the soluble open I-domain to inhibit LFA-1-dependent adhesion was tested. In one study, K562 cells stably expressing wild-type LFA-1 were fluorescently labeled by BCECF and LFA-1 on the cell surface was activated by the activating monoclonal antibody, CBRLFA-1/2 in L15 media supplemented with FCS. The cells were subsequently incubated in ICAM-1 coated 96-well plastic plates in the presence or absence of I-domains. After incubation for 40 minutes at 37°C, unbound cells were washed off on a Microplate Autowasher. The fluorescence content of total input cells and the bound cells in each well was quantitated on a Fluorescent Concentration Analyzer. The bound cells were expressed as a percentage of total input cells per sample well. In contrast to the wild-type I-domain, the open I-domain mutant

strongly inhibited adhesion of LFA-1 expressing cells to immobilized ICAM-1 (Figure 10A).

In another study, the murine T lymphoma cell line EL-4 which expresses both murine LFA-1 and its ligands, including murine ICAM-1, and which exhibits LFA-1-  
 5 dependent homotypic aggregation upon activation by PMA was used. Cells were incubated in a 96 well plate in the presence of 50 ng/ml PMA and varying amounts of soluble I-domains. After incubation for 2 hours at 37°C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, the degree of aggregation was scored under the microscope as follows: 0 indicated that essentially no cells were clustered; 1 indicated that <10% of cells were aggregated; 2 indicated  
 10 clustering of <50%; 3 indicated that up to 100% of cells were in small, loose aggregates; 4 indicated that nearly 100% of cells were in larger clusters; and 5 indicated that nearly 100% of cells were in very large, tight clusters. As shown in Figure 10B, the soluble open I-domain also inhibited PMA-induced LFA-1 dependent homotypic aggregation of the murine T-cell line EL-4.

Moreover, the ability of the open I-domain mutants to inhibit LFA-1 function *in vivo* was tested by visualizing microcirculation in the peripheral lymph node (LN) with intravital microscopy. Briefly, a small bolus (20-50 µl) of LN cell suspensions from T-GFP mice were retrogradely injected through a femoral artery catheter and visualized in the subiliac LN by fluorescent epi-illumination from a video-triggered xenon arc  
 20 stroboscope. After recording control T<sup>GFP</sup> cell behavior in the absence of I-domain, the mouse was pretreated by intra-arterial injection of I-domain (10 µg/g of weight) 5 minutes before T<sup>GFP</sup> cell injection. All scenes were recorded on videotape and off-line analysis was done. The rolling fraction was calculated as percentage of rolling cells amount the total number of T<sup>GFP</sup> cells that entered a venule. The sticking (firm  
 25 adhesion) fraction was determined as the percentage of T<sup>GFP</sup> cells becoming firmly adherent for >20 seconds in the number of T<sup>GFP</sup> cells that rolled in a venule. Results were semi-quantitatively scored as follows: -: 0%, ±: 0-5%, +: 5-20%, ++: 20-40%, +++: 40-60%, ++++: 60-80%, +++++: 80-100%.

As shown in Table 5, below, injection of the open I-domain but not the wild-type  
 30 I-domain effectively blocked firm adhesion of T-lymphocytes to high endothelial venules, which is LFA-1-dependent. Lymphocyte rolling that is mediated by L-selectin and PNAd was not compromised, suggesting that the inhibitory effects of the open I-domain was LFA-1 specific.

T01E90 99254650

**Table 5. *In vivo* firm adhesion of lymphocytes under flow in peripheral lymph node high endothelial venules was inhibited by open but not wild-type I-domain**

I-domain	Fraction		
	rolling	firm adhesion	transmigration
none	+++	++	±
wild-type	+++	++	±
open	++++	±	-

***Kinetics of the Binding of  $\alpha$ L Mutant I-domains to ICAM-1***

- 5 To further investigate the kinetics of the interaction of the  $\alpha$ L I-domains with ICAM-1, recombinant soluble  $\alpha$ L I-domains were expressed in *E. coli*, refolded and purified. As shown in Table 6, below, the affinity of E284C/E301C is nearly comparable to K287C/K294C. The affinity of L161C/F299C, K160C/F299C, and L161C/T300C are significantly higher than wild type, but 20-30 times lower than high-
- 10 affinity open  $\alpha$ L I-domain, K287C/K294C. L161C/F299C, K160C/F299C, and L161C/T300C are referred to as intermediate-affinity  $\alpha$ L I-domains.

0044533-03104  
 0044533-03104  
 0044533-03104



**Table 6. Kinetics of interaction of  $\alpha$ L I-domains with ICAM-1**

$\alpha$ L I-domain	$K_{on}$ (1/Ms)	$K_{off}$ (1/s)	KD ( $\mu$ M)
Locked open			
K287C/K294C	$1.28 \times 10^5$	0.0230	0.180
E284C/E301C	$1.28 \times 10^5$	0.0459	0.360
L161C/F299C	$1.36 \times 10^5$	0.513	3.76
K160C/F299C	$1.53 \times 10^5$	0.67	4.39
L161C/T300C	$1.35 \times 10^5$	0.65	4.8
WT	$2.22 \times 10^3$	3.00	1350
Locked closed			
L289C/K294C	$2.11 \times 10^3$	2.84	1760

Recombinant soluble  $\alpha$ L I-domains were expressed in *E. coli*, refolded and purified. Kinetics of binding of the I-domains to ICAM-1 was measured by BIAcore™ instruments. Kinetics was analyzed BIAevaluation™ software. KD was calculated by Scatchard plots using data at steady states.  $K_{off}$  was obtained by curve fitting of the dissociation phase using 1:1 binding model.  $K_{on}$  was calculated by  $K_{off}/KD$ .

## EXAMPLE 6 CONSTRUCTION AND ACTIVITY OF Mac-1 CYSTEINE SUBSTITUTION MUTANTS

A similar approach was taken to design an open, high affinity conformation of Mac-1 by introducing a disulfide bond into the I-domain. The design of Mac-1 cysteine substitution mutants was described in Example 1.

**Table 7. C $\alpha$  and C $\beta$  between mutated residues in either open or closed conformation**

mutations	ido (open conformation)		jlm (closed conformation)	
	C $\alpha$	C $\beta$	C $\alpha$	C $\beta$
<u>Locked open</u>				
Q163C/Q309C	8.37	6.36	9.11	7.16
Q298C/N301C	5.31	4.21	9.05	10.91
D294C/T307C	9.21	8.67	16.01	17.52
D294C/Q311C	9.02	7.08	9.79	10.02
F297C/A304C	6.31	3.78	11.18	10.17
<u>Locked closed</u>				
Q163C/R313C	13.8	13.33	7.36	5.15

The distance between wild-type residues was measured by Look™ software in open conformation (1ido) or closed conformation (1jlm).

In order to assess the effect of the introduction of pairs of potentially disulfide bond-forming cysteines into the I-domain of  $\alpha$ M $\beta$ 2 on CBRM1/5 activation-dependent epitope expression and ligand binding, plasmids encoding the wild-type or mutant  $\alpha$ M subunits and the  $\beta$ 2 subunit were co-transfected into 293T and K562 cells.  $\alpha\beta$  heterodimer formation was confirmed using monoclonal antibody CBRM1/32 which recognizes an epitope in the putative  $\beta$ -propeller domain of the  $\alpha$ M subunit only after association with the  $\beta$ 2 subunit, and antibody CBRM1/5 was used to detect integrin activation.

The Q163C/Q309C pair of mutations worked well (Figure 11B, Figure 12B and C). This mutant introduces a putative disulfide bond near the bottom front of the I-domain, between residues that are in the lower one-third of the last  $\alpha$ -helix and the first  $\alpha$ -helix, and have C $\beta$  carbons that are 6.36Å apart in the 1ido structure. In contrast, the C $\beta$  carbons for the D294C/T307C and D294C/N311C substitutions are 8.67Å and 7.08Å apart, respectively. The C $\beta$  carbons for the Q298C/N301C and F297C/A304C substitutions are within the ideal range, however these substitutions are closer to the loop between the last  $\beta$ -strand and  $\alpha$ -helix, and must have unfavorable effects such as distorting the ligand binding site.

When expressed within an intact heterodimer in transiently transfected 293T cells, the Q163C/Q309C mutant is expressed half as well as wild-type as measured by CBRM1/32 antibody, but the ratio of the CBRM1/5 activation-dependent epitope to CBRM1/32 expression is markedly higher (Figure 11A). In addition, the adhesion of 293T cells expressing the Mac-1 Q163C/Q309C mutant to iC3b coated on plastic, as assayed in L15/FBS medium at room temperature, was higher than wild-type, despite its lower expression (Figure 11B).

Alternatively, isolated Mac-1 mutant I-domains were expressed on the cell surface in conjunction with an artificial signal sequence and transmembrane domain of the PDGF receptor. Adhesion was assayed in L15/FBS/MnCl<sub>2</sub> at 37°C. The isolated wild-type I-domain showed no binding to iC3b, whereas the previously described mutants with computationally redesigned hydrophobic cores, ido1r and ido2r, were active (Figure 11C) (Shimaoka, M *et al.* (2000) *Nature Structural Biology* 7:674-678). The Q163C/Q309C mutant I-domain exhibited strong specific ligand binding that was completely blocked by the inhibitory I-domain monoclonal antibody CBRM1/5 (Figure 12C).

In a further study, the open I-domain mutants Q163C/Q309C and D294C/Q311C were stably expressed in K562 cells, and clones expressing the same levels of receptors were selected. Adhesion assays to immobilized iC3b were performed with L15/FBS at 37°C. In contrast to 293T cells, wild-type Mac-1 has little basal activity for ligand binding in these cells (Figure 12A and 12B). Both Q163C/Q309C and D294C/Q311C showed increased CBRM1/5 activation-dependent epitope expression and increased ligand binding when expressed in an intact  $\alpha$ M $\beta$ 2 heterodimer, as compared to wild-type (Figure 12A and 12B). Moreover, K562 cells expressing isolated open I-domain mutants on the cell surface showed strong specific binding to iC3b as compared to wild-type (Figure 12C).

In order to confirm that the increased ligand binding activity of the open I-domain mutants is induced by the formation of a disulfide bond, the effect of the reducing agent DTT was tested. Binding of  $\alpha$ M $\beta$ 2 transfectants containing mutant I-domains to immobilized iC3b on plastic was tested in the presence and absence of DTT. As summarized in Table 8, below, locked open  $\alpha$ M I-domains, (Q163C/Q309C) and (D294C/Q311c), are active in the absence of activation and their activities are partly reduced by disulfide reduction by DTT. By contrast, locked closed  $\alpha$ M I-domain

Q163C/R313C is inactive and resistant to activation, but becomes activatable after disulfide reduction by DTT.

As shown in Figure 12C, DTT treatment abolished ligand binding by isolated locked open I-domains. In contrast, DTT increased binding of the intact wild-type  $\alpha$ M $\beta$ 2 (Figure 2B), indicating that DTT used in this experiment was not toxic and abolishment of ligand binding by the open I-domain mutants was not due to a non-specific effect of DTT. Taken together, these data suggest that the introduced cysteines result in the formation of a disulfide bridge that constrains the Mac-1 I-domain in an open or closed conformation.

**Table 8. Summary of adhesion assay of  $\alpha$ M $\beta$ 2 transfectant containing mutant I-domains**

mutations	- DTT	- DTT	+ DTT	+ DTT
	- activation	+ activation	- activation	+ activation
Wild type	±	++++	++	++++
<u>Locked open</u>				
Q163C/Q309C	++++	++++	++	++++
Q298C/N301C	±	+	NT	NT
D294C/T307C	±	+	NT	NT
D294C/Q311C	++++	++++	++	++++
F297C/A304C	±	++	NT	NT
<u>Locked closed</u>				
Q163C/R313C	±	±	++	+++

Binding of  $\alpha$ M $\beta$ 2 transfectants containing mutant I-domains to immobilized iC3b on plastic was tested. Results were semi-quantitatively scored as follow; ±: 0-5%, +: 5-25%, ++25-50%, +++: 50-75%, ++++: 75-100% of binding by activated wild type transfectant.

NT: not tested

DTT: disulfide reduction by DTT treatment.

+ activation: activated by activating mAB

CBR LFA-1/2

**Equivalents**

Those skilled in the art will recognize, or be able to ascertain using no more than routine experimentation, many equivalents to the specific embodiments of the invention described herein. Such equivalents are intended to be encompassed by the following

5 claims.

094536 FEB 10 10 45 AM '09